



F3 R/C AEROBATICS SUB-COMMITTEE

MINUTES

of the 2009 F3 R/C Aerobatics Technical Meeting
Friday 27th March 2009, 09:30, Olympic Museum, Lausanne

1. Welcome
The chairman welcomed the members to the meeting. He stressed the importance of support and commitment for the decisions taken by the technical meeting, irrespective of whether individual members were opposed in their personal views of proposals that were accepted by the majority.
2. Attendance
The following persons attended the technical meeting:
Jean-Yves CASTERMANS (BEL) Sub-committee
Pierre PIGNOT (FRA) Sub-committee
Joe DIBLE (IRE) Delegate
Dave BROWN (USA) Delegate
Harunobu HIROSE (JPN) Delegate
Wolfgang MATT (LIE) Sub-committee
Peter UHLIG (GER) Technical expert
Jutta UHLIG (GER) Observer
Narve JENSEN (NOR) Delegate
Wang LEI (CHN) Delegate
John BRINK (RSA) Delegate
Peter GERMANN (SUI) Delegate
Peter KEIM (NED) Delegate
Henny VAN LOON (NED) Technical expert
Igor SHILOV (RUS) Observer
Derek KOPOWITZ (USA) Sub-committee
Jiri HAVEL (CZE) Sub-committee
Emanuel FERNANDES (POR) Sub-committee
Rui FERREIRA (POR) Observer
Jose Antonio LEJARZA (ESP) Sub-committee
3. Apologies
John MEE (GBR). Tom BLOODWORTH (AUS). Harry ELLS (CAN). Tze Law CHAN (SIN).
Ola FREMMING (NOR). Christian WEISS (AUT).
4. Minutes of the 2008 F3 R/C Aerobatics Technical Meeting
The minutes were distributed prior to the meeting and were taken as read. They were accepted on proposal from Emanuel Fernandes, seconded by Henny van Loon.
5. Matters arising
There were no matters arising from these minutes
6. Sporting Code Proposals (*refer to the Agenda of the 2009 CIAM Plenary meeting*)
Section 4C, Volume F3 – RC Aerobatics
 - 5.1.3 Definition and number of helpers
Proposal by F3 Aerobatics sub-committee – To accommodate competitors with physical disability. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.
 - 5.1.5 Definition of an attempt
Proposal by F3 Aerobatics sub-committee – Delete the first sentence of the note.
The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.
 - 5.1.10 Judging
Proposal by F3 Aerobatics sub-committee – Number of judges for other international events.
The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary, with the following modification:
For other international events on the FAI calendar, the organiser should appoint a panel of not less than five judges. In exceptional circumstances, two judges from the same nation may serve on the same panel, after consultation with the sub-committee chairman.
 - 5.1.11 Organisation for radio-controlled aerobatic contests
Proposal by F3 Aerobatics sub-committee – Modify 8th paragraph regarding timing of flight. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary, with one minor modification to the third sentence: **The timing device/clock will be interrupted when the contest director or sound steward competitor is ready to take the sound measurement.**

- 5.1.11 Organisation of radio-controlled aerobatic contests
Proposal by France – Modify paragraph no. for transmitter and frequency control. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.
- 5.1.12 Execution of Manoeuvres
Proposal by F3 Aerobatics sub-committee – Add “scored” to second sentence. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.
- 5.1.13 Schedule of Manoeuvres
Proposal by F3 Aerobatics sub-committee – Correction of manoeuvre name of F-09.04. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.
- Annex 5L F3M Large R/C Aerobatics
Proposal by France – Change class status from provisional to official. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.
- 5L 1.3 F3M Large R/C Aerobatics, General Characteristics
Proposal by France – Change engine capacity to 210ccm. The technical meeting was split in their opinion as follows: 1 vote in favour, 12 opposed. It is therefore not in favour of adoption of this proposal by the Plenary. For the future progress of activity in this class, the sub-committee will propose a lowering of the sound limit at a future meeting.
- 5.M.1.13 Schedule of Manoeuvres AP-07 (Annex 5M F3P Indoor R/C Aerobatics)
Proposal by Belgium – To substitute manoeuvre for ceiling height less than 12m. The technical meeting was split in their opinion as follows: 2 votes in favour, 4 opposed, 5 abstentions, and 3 not voting. The technical meeting is therefore not in favour of adoption of this proposal by the Plenary
- 5.M.1.13 Schedule of Manoeuvres AP-12 (Annex 5M F3P Indoor R/C Aerobatics)
Proposal by Belgium – To substitute manoeuvre for ceiling height less than 12m. The technical meeting was split in their opinion as follows: 2 votes in favour, 3 opposed, 4 abstentions, and 3 not voting. The technical meeting is therefore not in favour of adoption of this proposal by the Plenary
- 5.M.1.13 Schedule of Manoeuvres AF-06 (Annex 5M F3P Indoor R/C Aerobatics)
Proposal by Belgium – To substitute manoeuvre for ceiling height less than 12m. The technical meeting was evenly split in their opinion as follows: 5 votes in favour, 3 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 4 not voting. The technical meeting is therefore undecided,
- 5.M.1.13 Schedule of Manoeuvres (Annex 5M F3P Indoor R/C Aerobatics)
Proposal by the Netherlands – To substitute eight manoeuvres in the AP and AF schedules. The technical meeting decided to treat the first three proposals first and the following five separately. On both occasions, the majority of the members in the technical meeting voted against the adoption of these proposals, and they are therefore not recommended for adoption by the Plenary (1 in favour, 8 against, and 1 in favour, 7 against).
- Annex F3A World Cup. Proposal by France – Amend sub-paragraph b) to allow more participation. The technical meeting was unanimously in favour of recommending adoption of this proposal to the Plenary.

7. Any other business

Short discussions were entertained on preparation of the 2009 world championship, the candidacies for the post of F3A sub-committee chairman, and the timing schedule of a future continental and world championship for F3P. The members viewed a presentation of Indoor Aerobatic flying, and of the offer by the USA to host the 2011 F3A world championship.

8. There being no further business, the meeting was closed at 12:10.

Bob Skinner
F3 R/C Aerobatics sub-committee chairman
Lausanne, 27 March 2009