



Fédération Aéronautique Internationale

Minutes of the Plenary of the FAI Hang Gliding and Paragliding Commission

Zoom

February 4 to 7, 2021

1	Opening of the meeting	3
2	Declaration of Conflicts of Interest (Annexe 2)	4
3	Additions to the Agenda	4
4	Approval of the minutes of the 2019 Plenary meeting (Annexe 4)	4
5	Report of the FAI Secretary General (Annexes 5a and 5b)	5
6	Report of the CIVL President (Annexe 6a)	5
7	Report of Bureau decisions that need the Plenary approval (Annexe 7 v3)	5
8	Report of the CIVL Treasurer (Annexe 8a and 8b)	5
9	Approval of the 2020 accounts	6
10	Discharge of Bureau responsibility for decisions since last Plenary	6
11	Review of the Championships and Test Events	6
12	Review of the World Air Games and special events (Annexe 12)	8
13	Report from the Hang Gliding XC Committee (Annexe 13)	
14	Report from the Paragliding XC Committee (Annexe 14)	8
15	Report from the Paragliding Accuracy Committee (Annexe 15)	8
16	Report from the Paragliding Aerobatic Committee (Annexe 16)	9
17	Report on Safety (Annexe 17)	9
18	Report from the Software Officers (Annexe 18)	9
19	Report from the Records & Badges Officer (Annexe 19)	9
20	Report from the Competition Coordinator (Annexe 20)	9
21	Report from the Jury & Steward Coordinator (Annexe 21)	10
22	Report from the Communication Officer (Annexe 22)	10
23	Proposals from the CIVL Bureau	10
24	Proposals from the Hang Gliding XC Committee	10
25	Proposals from the Paragliding XC Committee	10
26	Joint proposals from the Hang Gliding and Paragliding XC Committee	10
27	Proposals from the Paragliding Accuracy Committee (Annexe 27)	10
28	Proposals from the Paragliding Aerobatic Committee	10
29	Proposals from the Safety Officers	10
30	Proposals from the Formula and Software Working Group	10
31	Proposals from the Records & Badges Officer	11
32	Proposals from the Jury & Steward Coordinator	11
33	Proposals from National Airsport Control (NAC)	11
34	Bids for 2023 Championships	11
35	Provisional budget (Annexe 35a and 35b)	11
36	Nominations for Awards	12
37	Venue of next Plenary meeting	12
38	Nomination and election of Bureau members and Committee Chairs	12
39	Any other matter	12
40	Closing Remarks of the FAI Representative and CIVL President	

Invitation and timetable were published in separate documents.

All annexes and Open meetings 'Record of discussions' were available on the cloud https://cloud.fai.org/s/bwaqrHi5XDbnKqY

They are now in the Document chapter of the CIVL website.

1 Opening of the meeting

The Plenary overall procedures are detailed in CIVL Internal Regulations: Annexe 1a. Majority and voting procedures are explained in a table: Annexe 1b and 1c.

The CIVL President welcomes everyone

- o Delegates, alternate delegates and observers
- o CIVL bureau members, committee Chairs and Officers
- Honoured guests
- o And last but not least: David Monks, the new FAI President

This is not a typical plenary. We trust that everything will go smoothly, just like the Open meetings did: 79 people from 37 nations participated in efficient discussions. The ePlenary specific procedures are explained: who takes the floor and how; screen sharing kept to the minimum; voting procedures for non-secret votes. All secret votes to be run on Session 4, Sunday February 7.

· Roll call, apologies and proxies.

CIVL Bureau members, Committee chairs, officers, administrator

Andy Cowley – Claudio Cattaneo – Elena Filonova – Goran Dimiskovski – Igor Erzen – Jamie Shelden – Jun Zhang – Riikka Vilkuna – Stephane Malbos – Zeljko Ovuka

Honoured guest

FAI Executive Board: David Monk - Agust Gudmundsonn - Pankul Mathur

FAI Commissions : Jurgen Knueppel – Marja Osinga

FAI Secretariat: Markus Haggeney - Visa Matti

Registered NAC

Argentina – Australia – Austria – Brazil – Bulgaria – Canada – China – Croatia – Czech Republic – Denmark – Finland – France – Germany – Great Britain – Honk Kong – Hungary – India – Indonesia – Iran – Island – Italy – Japan – Kazaksthan – Latvia – Lithuania – N. Macedonia – Malaysia – Mexico (suspended) – Mongolia – Netherland – New Zealand (proxy to Australia) – Norway – Philippines – Poland – Portugal – Romania – Russia – Serbia – Slovenia – Spain – Sweden – Switzerland – Chinese Taipei – Thailand – Turkey – USA – Vietnam

• Welcome from the FAI President.

David Monk introduces himself as a helicopter pilot, a reasonably successful competitor, a manager involved in his club and FAI commission (CIG) for a long time as secretary and chairman of rules, also involved in the Royal Aeroclub of the UK, lately as President. So he has a good knowledge of air sports and hopes to bring this to the service of the FAI. Two years ago, he represented the CIG at the Luxor FAI General Conference, where he met the other presidents of Commission and started to work closely with them. The FAI problems became very apparent and this is why he stood up for the role of President.

The FAI has been lacking transparency and honesty, and has developed a large gap between a business-oriented Executive Board and how the Commissions operate. We need to restore the trust between these entities so we can work together. Finances need to be returned to stability. Commission reserves have been exploited for return in the form of sponsorship without success, which has left the FAI financially weak. With the help of everybody, we need to increase the work capital of the organisation.

Thanks to their many volunteers, the Commissions are working with efficiency and it is something that the FAI should be proud of. We trust the Commissions to find the best possible way to run their competitions in yet another difficult year. FAI is going through unusual times, but he believes that we will succeed and that better days are ahead.

Stephane Malbos points out that David Monk, the last two years, was in the Airsport Commission Presidents Basecamp project that exchanged profusely on all issues, so he knows well what the expectations of the Commissions are.

'Now that your position takes you on the other side of the fence, how do you see the cooperation between the Executive Board and the Commissions?'

David Monk: 'The commissions have been working well together for the last two years, so there is little to change in this regard. In Luxor, I was part of a meeting between the commissions and the Executive Board. It achieved nothing. Individual voices were heard, but as a group the Executive Board had its own objectives. The new Executive Board wants to work with the commissions. My belief is that the commissions are the operational part of the FAI, they look after the sport, it is their domain in the constitution. As an Executive Board, we should be facilitators and provide as best as we can an environment in which they can flourish. This is why the synergy, the communication between the commissions and the Board are so important. I believe we are already on this road.'

See also FAI President's speech before his election at the 2020 FAI General Conference: Annexe 6b.

2 Declaration of Conflicts of Interest (Annexe 2)

Involved in PG or HG companies: Michael Sigel, Yoshiki Oka, Cristiano Pereira, Brett Janaway.

3 Additions to the Agenda

- Point 11 Discussion on 2021 Championships and Covid-19
- Formula and Software Working Group Proposals. Section 7F modifications. Annexe 30.
- Proposal from Poland. Tracklog Archives. Annexe 33 d.
- Annexe 33 Amended Portugal proposal on records.
- Point 38: Elections

Vote: All agreed unanimously

The CIVL President requests that the deadline for Bureau and Committees Chair nomination is moved from just before the election (Sunday 7, as per our Internal Regulation) to the Saturday 6 session.

- o February 4 Nomination forms are circulated.
- o February 6 Nominations are closed. The nominated accept or refuse the nominations. If they accept, they present themselves.
- February 7 Elections

Vote: Agreed unanimously

4 Approval of the minutes of the 2019 Plenary meeting (Annexe 4)

Vote: Agreed unanimously

5 Report of the FAI Secretary General (Annexes 5a and 5b)

Markus Haggeney thanks the Executive Board and the ASCs for helping the secretariat focusing on activities and a more stable FAI. He sums up his reports on the FAI in 2020 and on the FAI 2021 budget.

He points out a few specific slides...

The key performance indicators; the stability of the ASCs' reserves; the good states of the CIVL finances (the 'richest' commission); the news accounts that differs commissions activities and FAI central sport-related activities; the sharp trimming of FAI Full Time Employes (from 10+ in 2019 to 5.4 in 2021, and even 3.6 during the pandemic as some of them are on partial unemployment).

The reorganisation of the finances; the continuous downtrend of the membership revenue; the late payment of membership fees that creates a cashflow issue; the reduction of the overhead, most of it from the office; an achievable 2021 balanced budget; the pandemic and its hard-to-evaluate financial consequences; the need to be more transparent by reporting regularly to the ASCs and giving them easy access to their accounts.

What are you expecting from the ASCs in general and the CIVL in particular? What we are looking for is operational efficiency. ASCs can help us simplify the workflow between ASCs and secretariat and our overall organisation. For instance, we have currently 23 different accounts, some in euros, some in Swiss Francs.

Andy Cowley, as CIVL Financial Secretary, acknowledges the progress made this year on efficiency and transparency.

6 Report of the CIVL President (Annexe 6a)

Stephane Malbos sums up the key points of his report and point out to the additional reports from Committee chairs and other officers.

He underlines that our reserves are still large and that the CIVL can keep functioning as before and invest when and where necessary in training, software, equipment... But soon enough we will have to address our chronic deficit.

For two years now, the Commission Presidents have worked together and spoken with one voice. They have been heard. The last year has seen significant changes at the FAI with administrative downsizing, financial reorganisation and a new President and Executive Board elected.

We now have to work some more to consolidate the changes and help the FAI to simplify and save money.

2021 is an election year. Mitch Shipley (Secretary) and Riikka Vilkuna (PG Accuracy Chair) are stepping back. The others are happy to serve another two years. Bill Hughes (USA) was contacted to join the Bureau as Financial Secretary, and Martin Jovanoski (MKD) to chair the Accuracy Committee. They agreed, but, of course, the game is open and anybody can be nominated and elected to all positions.

7 Report of Bureau decisions that need the Plenary approval (Annexe 7 v3)

The latest version, published on February 3, included the Bureau's approval of the World HG XC local regulations. The published local regulations are now suspended while the team size issue is being reviewed.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

8 Report of the CIVL Treasurer (Annexe 8a and 8b)

Andy Cowley presented his report, narrative and PowerPoint.

9 Approval of the 2020 accounts

Vote: Agreed unanimously

10 Discharge of Bureau responsibility for decisions since last Plenary

The CIVL shall accept responsibility for all acts carried out by the President, the Bureau and the Committee Chairs of CIVL under the terms of the FAI Constitution and CIVL Internal Regulations and in good faith.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

11 Review of the Championships and Test Events

2020 Championships

- PG XC PanAmerican Brazil March 7 to 14. Annexe 11.
- HG XC Class Sport World Groveland, USA April 19 to May 1. Cancelled.
- HG XC Class 2, 5, Women 1 World Groveland, USA April 19 to May 1.
 Cancelled.
- PG Accuracy Asia Kazakhstan May 30 to June 7.

Postponed to 2022.

• HG XC European 1 – Monte Cucco, Italy – July 4 to 18.

Postponed to 2022.

• PG XC European – Nis, Serbia – July 18 to August 1.

Postponed to 2022.

- HG XC Class 1 Pan-American Texas, USA August 2 to 14.
 Cancelled.
- PG Aerobatic World Trasaghis, Italy August 5 to 14.
- Destroyed to July 6 to 17, 2021

Postponed to July 6 to 17, 2021.

- PG Accuracy European Romania August 28 to September 5. Postponed to 2022.
- PG XC Asian Oceanic Australia Bright December 5 to 19.
 Cancelled.

2020 Test events

• HG XC World Class 1 - Krushevo, N. Macedonia.

Cancelled.

• PG XC World – Chamoux-sur-Gelon, France.

Cancelled.

PG Accuracy World – Prilep, N. Macedonia.

Cancelled.

2020 Live tracker Coordinator report

No activity in 2020.

2021 Championships

- PG XC World Chamoux-sur-Gelon, France.
- PG Aerobatic World Trasaghis, Italy.
- HG XC World Class 1 Krushevo, N. Macedonia.
- PG Accuracy World Prilep, N. Macedonia.

Because test events where not ran, the 2021 championships will programme extra training days before the championships to allow pilots, team leaders, accompanying persons, organisers and officials to get ready.

2021 Cat 1s and Covid-19

The issue was addressed in the various meetings.

It was explained what the CIVL Bureau philosophy in 2020 has been: trust the organisers to take the best decision possible.

Doing the same in 2021 is an option.

The other option is that the CIVL defines the conditions in which a championship is considered as fair, safe and satisfying, in regard to the number of nations and pilots given the possibility to attend without too many restrictions.

The discussion underlines two opposite approaches.

- The show must go on. A somehow diminished championship is better than no championship. The organisers are doing all they can so the championship can be held, pilots should be ready to do the same.
- The uncertainty of the championship makes it difficult for pilots to get ready (take time off, get in shape, upgrade their equipment, organise transportation). Travel restrictions concerns not only open or closed borders but quarantine before and after the event. Too many countries not participating would make a championship meaningless.

The FAI Secretary General points to the Sporting Code rules (a championship is valid with 4 nations and 8 pilots) and to the Organiser Agreement (that does not include limits on the number of nations and pilots).

The CIVL President underlines that an Organiser Agreement is signed by four entities: organisers and NAC, F AI central and CIVL. A consensus must be found among all parties to maintain, cancel or postpone.

All approaches have their own logic and supporters.

The PG XC Worlds in France is the first Cat 1 on the 2021 calendar. It starts on May 19 with training days. The deadline for the PG XC World to go 'on' or 'off' has been set by the organisers at the latest on March 15, a bit more than 2 months before the event. If it takes part, the organisers will be ready to deliver as expected, with the French government support, including all Covid-related requirements.

It is impossible to anticipate what the situation will be in early March, hence it is difficult for the CIVL to set theoretical rules that could satisfy everyone. The organisers and the CIVL Bureau will have to be trusted to agree on the best decision when the time comes, very much like how they did in 2020.

The HG World will start in mid-July. We have more time to assess the situation. The HG open meeting participants state that they need more time than in PG to get ready because of the equipment issue, harder to travel with. They hope for a May 1st deadline for a decision to be taken. It was agreed that the situation should be reassessed in April.

In both these championships, committees, delegates and team leaders will be involved in the decision process.

The PG Aerobatic World will start on July 6 and was not discussed.

The PG Accuracy World will start in mid-October and was not discussed.

If test events can't be run in normal conditions in 2021, the organisers and CIVL will find solutions in line with what was done in 2020.

The CIVL President asked if there was any opposition to this way forward. There was none.

Bids for 2023 Championships

In point 7 of the agenda, the plenary agreed unanimously on the following: Bids are open in all disciplines. If any 2021 World Championship is cancelled due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the organiser will be offered to organise the 2023 championship. The organiser will have to commit at the same time as the 2021 cancellation will be decided. Such postponed championships will have the priority over the bids approved by 2021 plenary. In other words, bidders for 2023 World championships should be aware that if the 2021 championships are postponed to 2023, their successful bids will be cancelled.

12 Review of the World Air Games and special events (Annexe 12)

Do World Air Games or similar mutisport event projects have a future? Stephane Malbos explains the need for a detailed analysis of past events, sport-wise and finances-wise.

David Monk underlines the two sides of the analysis: what happened in the past and what we want for the future (was it worth it).

Markus Haggeney believes such events can have value if run properly and is looking forward to work on the matter

Esa Alaraudanjoki (FIN) suggests that the respect of human rights should be taken in consideration when choosing a venue.

13 Report from the Hang Gliding XC Committee (Annexe 13)

Jamie Shelden reported. All Cat 1 events in 2020 were either cancelled or postponed. The Open meeting objected to Bureau decision to allow 10 additional pilots over max number allowed in Section 7 at HG worlds in Krushevo and also requested that the organisers make a go/no-go decision by May 1, 2021. Also, for Krushevo Worlds, the organisers should go forward with registration and allocation, but not payment until May 1. Bid for 2023 worlds from Spain was discussed and informally approved by the Open meeting.

14 Report from the Paragliding XC Committee (Annexe 14)

We managed to run 50% of the scheduled Cat 2 events in 2020. On the national level, competition activity was running as usual in certain countries while in others it was completely stopped. That indicates that some events will run in 2021 as well, and we should give them full support: software-, rules- and assistance-wise.

Cat 1s in 2020 were all cancelled, but there are still chances to run at least some of them in 2021, including the XC Worlds in France.

The state of affairs in the XC Committee is stable. The system is fine-tuned constantly without excessively troublesome issues.

Overall, my opinion is that despite challenging times, XC paragliding is going strong, and we will manage to find a way to operate despite the very complicated environment surrounding us at the moment.

15 Report from the Paragliding Accuracy Committee (Annexe 15)

Riikka Vilkuna reported. Cat 1s and most Cat 2s competitions were stopped. The Asian and European Championships were moved to 2022.

There is a growing interest in the discipline and an Asian League is being planned to start. Focus areas for the PGA committee 2021 should include among other issues the AMD development and requirements, and judging development.

Twenty+ people representing 18 countries are participating in paragliding accuracy discussions on Basecamp: the committee has discussed a few changes to Section 7C during autumn and a proposal is put forward to the Plenary 2021.

16 Report from the Paragliding Aerobatic Committee (Annexe 16)

Not a single sanctioned aerobatic competition took place during the year. The World Paragliding Aerobatic Championships in Italy, originally scheduled in August 2020, was postponed to July 2021.

There is no change to S7B to be discussed, with the exception of a set of new synchro manoeuvres, whose execution and judgment criteria still need to be refined and validated. This process will be completed soon.

The project for the judge training seminar in Latin America is making its way, we are waiting to see the evolution of the Covid situation to decide whether to confirm December 2021.

17 Report on Safety (Annexe 17)

Stephane Malbos explained the lack of a CIVL proper incident database; the one ran by the federations deal with much bigger numbers and are more reliable.

Thomas Milko (BRA) asked for the help of CIVL to have access to such database. Robin Freiss (GER), in charge of the DHV database also used by many other European countries, offered his help.

18 Report from the Software Officers (Annexe 18)

Igor Erzen reported. AirScore project is going on in full scale. It is estimated, that we could fully run it within two months. Depending on time available by the developers of course. We also expect test environment on FAI servers to be setup and let a selected number of testers to try it and get feedback.

Elena Filonova updated the plenary on the CIVL Event Management System. The project is five-year old, part of the Software CIVL 'vision' presented to the FAI in 2016. The FAI failed to deliver what we requested, the Noosphere eNavigator being useless for us. We decided to do it ourselves. A tender was done. We chose Noosphere. The project is ongoing and phase 1 is to be finished soon. We will then start using it, collect feedback, make adjustments, fix bugs.

Elena demonstrated parts that are ready: WPRS pages, calendars, competition pages, seminar pages, integration with the Application Management System.

19 Report from the Records & Badges Officer (Annexe 19)

Igor Erzen reported. This year, as expected, was a quite year. Although there were a few new continental and world records broken this year, not much can be said on regulation. There was only one proposal to change S7d, from Portugal, to split some types of record based on the difference in the launch type (hill launch vs. winch).

Another idea came out of discussion to eliminate the need to contact you NAC prior to the record attempt, but only for some type of records. No proposal came out to change that rule.

20 Report from the Competition Coordinator (Annexe 20)

Elena Filonova reported. In 2020 we received 278 applications for Cat 2 events. It is about 10–15% less than in 2019, but 10% more than in 2018. So it can be said that this figure is in the average limits.

The number of events that actually took place was significantly lower: 50% less in PG XC and HG XC, 70% less in PGA. Not a single PG Aerobatics event took place.

To support the organisers CIVL announced full refund of sanction fees in case of COVID-related cancellation. About 2/3 of cancelled events did not claim the refund and asked to transfer the sanction fee paid to next year's events. Still, the confirmed income for 2020, compared to 2019, was reduced to 2.5 times.

The majority of PG and HG XC events had 2 to 4 tasks, and in PG Accuracy 6 to 8 rounds. Other figures can be found in Annexe 20.

21 Report from the Jury & Steward Coordinator (Annexe 21)

Jamie Shelden reported. All jury and steward assignments have been made for the 2021 competition season. However, all officials will wait to make travel arrangements until the events are closer, in case of cancellation.

22 Report from the Communication Officer (Annexe 22)

Elena Filonova reported. We had a lot of depressing news: postponement and cancellations. Some good points – the Pan American in Brazil and a few records – made us look optimistic into the future. To improve our communications and continue support of Category 1 and 2 events, we will offer registration announcement via social media FB and Instagram in 2021.

A think tank on our global communication is suggested (objectives and means). Brett Janaway explains the recent upgrading of Air Tribune and is complimented for his good work.

23 Proposals from the CIVL Bureau

None received.

24 Proposals from the Hang Gliding XC Committee

None received.

25 Proposals from the Paragliding XC Committee

None received.

26 Joint proposals from the Hang Gliding and Paragliding XC Committee

None received.

27 Proposals from the Paragliding Accuracy Committee (Annexe 27)

Section 7C modifications: Annexe 27.

The changes are in visible in red.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

28 Proposals from the Paragliding Aerobatic Committee

None received.

29 Proposals from the Safety Officers

None received.

30 Proposals from the Formula and Software Working Group

Section 7F modifications: Annexe 30.

Fix error in time-point reduction formula in stopped tasks with multiple start gates. Vote: Agreed unanimously

Start the Leading Coefficient graph used for leading points calculation at task start time. Vote: Agreed unanimously

Clarification of the order in which penalties are applied.

Did not require a vote. Just Section 7 cleaning up.

31 Proposals from the Records & Badges Officer

None received.

32 Proposals from the Jury & Steward Coordinator

None received.

33 Proposals from National Airsport Control (NAC)

Bulgaria (Annexe 33a and 33b V4)

• PG XC Leading Weight.

The proposal was withdrawn.

• PG and HG XC – Lower Limits of Turnpoints.

The proposal was amended. Amendments are underlined in yellow, orange and green in Annexe 33b V4. The intended changes in the rules will be subject to an additional proposal to the 2022 Plenary.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

Portugal (Annexe 33c)

 PG XC – Set a new record for foot-launched free distance and free distance to a declared goal.

Vote – 2/3 qualified majority – Yes: 24 – No: 14

The proposal failed.

Poland (Annexe 33 d)

Tracklog Archives

The proposal request that tracklogs used for results calculation (IGC files) are sent to the CIVL. The files are made available to the general public.

Vote - Yes: 25 - No: 6

The proposal is accepted.

34 Bids for 2023 Championships

18th FAI World Paragliding Championships (Annexe 34a)

• Andradas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Bid presented by Thomas Milko. The PG XC Committee is supportive. All questions answered.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

12th FAI World Paragliding Accuracy Championships (Annexe 34b V2)

Sopot, Bulgaria.

Bid presented by Valery Tzvetanov. The PG Accuracy Committee is supportive. All questions answered.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

24th FAI World Hang Gliding Class 1 Championships (Annexes 34c)

Àger, Spain.

Bid presented by Jose Manuel Sanchez Garcia 'Juaki'. The PG XC Committee is supportive. All questions were answered.

Vote: Agreed unanimously

35 Provisional budget (Annexe 35a and 35b)

Andy Cowley presented its report, narrative and Excel sheet. All questions were answered. <u>Vote: Agreed unanimously.</u>

Andy was thanked by many: 'Great work! It is a hard job and you made it look easy.'

36 Nominations for Awards

FAI Air Sport Medal

 Frank Brown and Marcos Aurelio Pinheiro: Annexe 36 Vote: Agreed unanimously

CIVL Hang Gliding and Paragliding Diploma

None received.

CIVL Pepe Lopes Medal

None received.

37 Venue of next Plenary meeting

For venue of the 2020 Plenary, the 2019 Plenary chose Belgrade, Serbia. Due to the pandemic, the physical Plenary was cancelled. The Bureau decided to postpone Belgrade venue to 2022. The organisers agreed. The 2021 Plenary approved the Bureau decision. So the 2022 plenary will take place in Belgrade from February 3 to 6.

38 Nomination and election of Bureau members and Committee Chairs

Where nominated and accepted the nomination

- President. Stephane Malbos
- Vice presidents: Goran Dimikovski, Igor Erzen, Jamie Shelden, Zeljko Ovuka
- Administrative Secretary: Andy Cowley
- Financial Secretary: William Hughes
- Hang Gliding Committee Chair: Jamie Shelden
- Paragliding XC Committee Chair: Goran Dimikovski
- Paragliding Accuracy Committee Chair: Martin Jovanovski
- Paragliding Aerobatic Committee Chair: Claudio Cattaneo

As none of the positions were contested, the nominated were elected by general consent.

39 Any other matter

At this time of the agenda (or at other times), the floor was given for an open discussion.

Pros and cons of physical and online Plenary meetings

We need the physical meetings to have the time to get to the bottom of things. Quality, strategy, team building. We cannot do without them.

We need the virtual meetings because we access people that we never see and they become part of the CIVL. Expansion, diversity, pedagogy. We have to learn to do with them. Why not mixed meetings, both physical and virtual? They may bring serious challenges to the plenary hosts, still they may be unavoidable and CIVL will have to help find solutions.

Records

CIVL has received suggestions to review all its record scheme, going through records that seems to be outdated and checking processes so we have a way of checking advance notice and airspace restrictions.

Asian Development, Paragliding Accuracy and the Airsports Federation of Asia

The AFA was created in 2015, recognised by the FAI in 2016. It has xx members, including countries in Central Asia and Oceania. Last October, the AFA Board contacted the CIVL Bureau so we would help them on a PG Accuracy Asian Cup project. The Bureau thought that the project was worthwhile and in line with CIVL policy to help the development of our sports in Asia, especially in regards to organisers and judges in Accuracy. We worked with the FAI to build what is now called the AFA PG Accuracy League. The League is run a few Cat 2 events in various countries and have a final with pilots selected through the league nations ranking. Aldy Pantaroy (IND) is the League Technical Delegate and Arif Ibrahim (MAS) its Head of Judges. The CIVL will help organise a CIVL Judges Seminar at the appropriate time.

Asian Development, Paragliding XC and the PWC Asian Tour

The Paragliding World Cup Association (PWCA) and the CIVL developed a XC Asian tour in 2019. The CIVL supported the project. 2020 was supposed to be the real start of it, then the pandemic... Goran Dimiskovki explained: the aim is to educate the people crucially needed in top competitions. First Event and Meet Directors, then scorers, live-tracking managers, etc. It started with the support of Gin and his contacts in China and South Korea and soon other countries were interested. In 2021, we had a competition in Nepal that showed that the concept was good, with an event not only well organised but well attended with, as hoped, the priority given to Asian pilots with just a few top-level 'outsiders' to give credibility and true international flavour.

Continental Development and the CIVL

Both above projects were initiated by local people, a prerequisite for any successful involvement of the CIVL in continental development. Both projects show that, on the political and associative levels, the credibility of the CIVL is a reality. We have to take this opportunity to help develop the sport as much as we can. It may take some human and financial investment, but it is worth it.

Out-of-touch ... or not?

The Bureau members and Committee Chairs have again been elected without contest (one nominated per position). It has been like this since 2013. Obviously, successive teams in charge have built trust, but there is always the risk of the 'leaders' losing touch with reality. Reality includes non-European nations, especially in Asia and Central/South America, which have been under-represented. Excess of trust can lead to mistakes and we must not forget to doubt.

Should the CIVL make a rule that limits the number of times once can be elected? There are pros and cons. When you find good people, why to get rid of them? But we need fresh blood! But fresh blood is not enough, we need educated blood! Food for thoughts.

Involvement in the CIVL cannot be decreed from the top. Anyone from any nation can get involved in the running of the CIVL at any level. The best place to start getting involved is the Basecamp Projects, all wide open to contributions. The Bureau that we call 'Extended' is also open to contributors, currently Committee Chairs and Continental Officers.

40 Closing Remarks of the FAI Representative and CIVL President

Markus Haggeney, FAI Secretary General, had to leave the meeting early and wrote in the Zoom Chat box: Friends, I am leaving. Great meeting. Very interesting discussions. Happy to continue supporting as much as we can. Greetings from the office in Lausanne!

Agust Gudmundsonn, CIVL President of honour and Executive Board member since 2014, has been listening how CIVL is progressing and is happy about it. In these difficult times, we have to stay positive. Pandemic-wise, let's try to make things happen. Finances-wise, we need to work together.

Stephane Malbos, President for a new 2-year term, looked back at six years of Plenary reports, six years of achievements, and tried to analyse...

CIVL have kept working efficiently on rules, software and competitions: the chore of what the CIVL has done and done well for 45 years now. So many volunteers must be thanked! We led some exceptional projects successfully: the CIVL Competition Class (CCC) paragliders, the Application and Event Management Systems (AMS and EMS), the move from FS to AirScore.

We invested in equipment: live-trackers, accuracy target.

We implemented a new system of distribution of the IPPI Card, where all pilots are systematically rated on their national licences: 21 nations have adopted it. And last but not least, we hired an administrator whose role has been central in the recent

development and running of the CIVL, as she overlooks with talent our events, software and communication.

The CIVL involvement in the 'higher' level of the FAI has too often been a struggle, mainly related to special events projects on which we had little control. We got involved, sometimes with enthusiasm, but the end result was globally disappointing. Nevertheless, such involvement must be kept strong, because the FAI is our family and our family is what we make it. Be active, tell the truth, be open to any new project, deliver when asked to. Because that's what we do, we are respected.

All this was possible because we don't rely on luck, but on the hard work of so many, but on our 300+ yearly competitions. The money that these events bring is deemed to be returned in a way or another to the ones that gave it: the pilots and the organisers. This is why, every day, we try to be more of service, more professional.

These past six years, we have learned

- To work within the system at all level: General Conference, Executive Board, Secretariat,
 CASI, Commission Presidents Group, CIVL Committees and Working Groups.
- To be transparent, to be cautious, to discuss everything. Basecamp here plays a crucial role with 70+ people involved, hundreds of discussions, thousands of exchanges.
- o To be open to anything, but...
- To rely on our own forces

So I will conclude this plenary like I concluded many others: we fail when we don't work enough. So back to work!



Fédération Aéronautique Internationale

Record of discussions 2021 Plenary Open Meetings FAI Hang Gliding and Paragliding Commission

Maison du Sport International Av. de Rhodanie 54 CH-1007 Lausanne (Switzerland) Tél. +41 (0)21 345 10 70 Fax +41 (0)21 345 10 77 E-mail: sec@fai.org Web: www.fai.org

Videoconference February 1 to 3, 2021

Contents

Joint Paragliding and Hang Gliding	4
Proposals from the Software Working Group (Annexe 30)	
Proposal from Portugal (Annexe 33c)	
Proposal from Poland (Annexe 33 d)	
WPRS Freezing	
Paragliding Accuracy	
Hang-Gliding	
Paragliding XC	6
Proposals from Bulgaria: Leading Points – Time Points(Annexe 33a)	7
Proposals from Bulgaria: Lower Limits of Turnpoints in the task (Annexe 33 b)	7
Bid from Brazil: 18th Paragliding World Championships 2023 (Annexe 34)	7
Proposal from Portugal (Annexe 33c): Different records for different launches	7
Paragliding Aerobatic	7
Formula and Software	
Presentation of AirScore	8
Proposals from Software WG (Annexe 30)	9
Covid-19 and Cat 1s	
2021 Cat 1 and Bids for 2023 Championships	

Joint Paragliding and Hang Gliding

Logged in and out at a different time

Bureau and Chairs: Stephane Malbos, Jamie Shelden, Goran Dimiskovski, Igor Erzen, Zeljko

Ovuka

Administrator: Elena Filonova

FAI Acting Secretary General: Markus Haggeney

Argentina: Eduardo Sánchez Granel

Austria: Herbert Siess Brazil: Thomas Milko

Chinese Taipei: Elsa L.C. Mai

Croatia: Darko Potocki

Czech Republic: Kamil Konecny Denmark: Niels Jorgen Askirk

France: Marc Nossin, Julien Garcia, Didier Mathurin, Joel Amiable, Yves Goueslain

Germany: Harry Buntz, Robin Friess

Hungary: Adel Honti India: Anisha Suresh

Iran (Islamic Republic of): Mohammad Razeghi

Italy: Barbara Sonzogni

Japan: Yoshiki Oka, Akira Mutazono

Latvia: Inese Subevica

Lithuania: Martynas Makelis, Saulicy Guobuzas Mongolia: Bold Purevdelger, Tuul Cohogsomjav

Netherlands: Van Dijk Benjamin Norway: Jon Erik Staurset Philippines: Moonyeen Policarpio Poland: Andy Jaxa - Rozen

Portugal: Antonio José Fernandes, Cristiano Pereira

Switzerland: Michael Sigel, Joerg Ewald

Thailand: Veerayuth Didyasarin

Turkey: Kamil Demirkan

United Kingdom: Brett Janaway, Phil Chettleburgh

USA: Bill Hughes

Vietnam: Quoc Tuan Dang

Proposals from the Software Working Group (Annexe 30)

Fix error in time-point reduction formula in stopped tasks with multiple start gates.

Clarification of order in which penalties are applied.

Start the Leading Coefficient graph used for leading points calculation at task start time.

The initial proposal has been amended and one questionable point withdrawn.

Joerg Ewald explained the need for these changes. They will not require additional budget for implementing them in FAS (it is not development but maintenance), and probably not in AirScore (already included).

No objection to the proposals.

Proposal from Portugal (Annexe 33c)

PG XC – Different records for different launches.

The proposal has been amended and now concerns only PG.

Cristiano Pereira sums upthe proposal.

The discussion follows the same logic as in the Committees and Delegates Basecamp exchanges. Some believe that foot launched must be preserved for straight distance, some that we have enough categories already. Both side have the support of delegates, observer and record chasers. So it will come to a secret vote on Sunday 7.

Proposal from Poland (Annexe 33 d)

Tracklogs Archives

The cost and the technical side of the proposal are discussed.

It is easy and cheap to store and make available track logs. Anyone can then retrieve them for their own analysis.

It is not so easy and not so cheap to organise a proper database allowing analysis of tracklogs. The consensus is that we should only archive tracklogs for now and discuss the database in 2023.

WPRS Freezing

The time devaluation factor has been frozen to lessen the effects of the pandemic (few competitions, travel restrictions). Is it time to unfreeze it.

Most people believe it is not. The Bureau takes a good note of it.

Paragliding Accuracy

Logged in and out at a different time Chair: Riikka Vilkuna (Finland)

Argentina: Hector Zoia Brazil: Thomas Milko Chinese Taipei: Elsa Mai Czech Republic: Kamil Konecny

France: Joel Amiable

Great Britain: Brett Janaway,Liz Lawrence Iran (Islamic Republic of): Mohammed Radzeghi

Japan: Yoshiki Oka Latvia: Inese Subevica

Macedonia (N.): Martin Jovanoski

Mongolia: Tuul Chogsomjav, Bold Purevdelger

Russia: Alexandra Serebrennikova

Participants of the open meeting discussed the activity of flying season 2020 (annexe 15) and the issues that had come up. Some resulted in small modifications in Section 7C as the proposal to the Plenary 2021 (annexe 27). The proposal was discussed and approved of. The chairperson will correct the few spelling mistakes in the document before the Plenary session.

There is a wish from the open meeting that the Bureau will finalize a protest form template as this would be useful.

The bid from Bulgaria for World Championships 2023 (annexe 34b) was discussed and the participants are positive but would like to see further discussion with the organiser about the number of pilots, the championships duration and general flying day timetable and the target location.

Target definition as horizontal should be discussed during the year. There are flying sites where the target is located on a slight slope.

Hang-Gliding

Logged in and out at a different time

Bureau and Chair: Stephane Malbos, Jamie Shelden

Administrator: Elena Filonova

CIMP (FAI Medical Commission): Marja Osinga Austria: Herbert Siessand Wolfgang Siess

Brazil: Thomas Milko

Denmark: Niels Jorgen Askirk

Finland: Juha Herrala Italy: Barbara Sonzogni Japan: Akira Mutazono Lithuania: Saulius Guobuzas Russian Federation: Alexandra Serebrennikova

Switzerland: Toni Crochet

Thailand: Gp. Captain Veerayuth Didyasarin

Turkey: Kamil Demirkan

United Kingdom: Phil Chettleburgh

The committee chair briefly reported on the cancelled and postponed events from 2020. The bid from Spain for the 2023 hang gliding world championships was discussed. There was consensus that the site, organisation and Meet Director are appropriate and highly experienced given previous Category 1 events in the same location with the same organisational team.

The 2021 hang gliding world championships in Krushevo were discussed. Committee members objected to the Bureau decision to allow 140 pilot, 10 more than allowed under Section 7 rules. The committee will recommend to the Plenary that the Bureau decision to allow 10 additional pilots should not be ratified and the organisers should be notified that only the maximum number of pilots under Section 7 will be allowed (130).

The committee also discussed the effect of COVID and whether Category 1 events should be cancelled by CIVL for 2021.No consensus. However, because of hang-gliding pilots' unique travel challenges, the committee recommends that a go/no-go decision be made by the organisers by May 1, 2021 to allow pilots sufficient time to make travel and glider shipping arrangements in time. Registration and allocation should proceed as normal, but no payments of registration fees will be made until May 1.

Paragliding XC

Logged in and out at a different time

CIVL Bureau: Andrew Cowley, Stephane Malbos, Goran Dimiskovski, Igor Erzen, Zeljko Ovuka, Jamie Shelden

CIVL Administrator: Elena Filonova

CIMP (Medical commission): Juergen K. Knueppel

FAI Executive Board:Pankul Mathur

FAI Secretary General: Markus Haggeney

Bulgaria: Daniel Dimov Chinese Taipei: Elsa L.C. Mai Finland: Esa Alaraudanjoki

France: Marc Nossin, Julien Garcia, Yves Goueslain

Germany: Harry Buntz, Marc Weinsauer

Hungary: Adel Honti India: Anisha Suresh Italy: Gianbasilio Profiti Japan: Yoshiki Oka Latvia: Inese Subevica

Lithuania: Danius Lukosevicius Malaysia: Ismail Nurhaqimy Mongolia: Bold Purevdelger Netherlands: Van Dijk Benjamin

N. Macedonia: Martin Jovanoski, Alexandar Gacevski

Norway: Jon Erik Staurset

Philippines: Moonyeen Policarpio, Michael Vincent Plotade

Poland: Andy Jaxa - Rozen

Portugal: Antonio José Pires Fernandes, Cristiano Pereira

Spain: Larry Pino

Switzerland Michael Sigel, Joerg Ewald

USA: Bill Hughes

Proposals from Bulgaria: Leading Points – Time Points(Annexe 33a)

There has been a long discussion about this possible problem that has not yet occurred, but there is a chance that this will come out sooner or later. At today's open meeting, we discussed this proposal and we all agreed that we need more discussion, ideas and solutions for the problems in the proposal. We will continue the work in Basecamp and hopefully at the next Plenary we will come up with something better and good for everybody!

For now, Bulgaria withdraws the proposal.

Proposals from Bulgaria: Lower Limits of Turnpoints in the task (Annexe 33 b)

Lower limit turnpoint in the task or at ESS, seems to be a good idea. Gliding competitions have a similar solution for their tasks. The majority of the attendants support the idea as it might give MDs more possible solutions for task setting. Major problem we see at the moment is the ability to record precise altitude with a smallest acceptable margin, so that errors would be as minimal as possible. It has been shown that accuracy is getting better, but we are still lacking that final touch to be comfortable in accepting this proposal.

Decision is to push this proposal back to Basecamp, to form a WG that will determine how to properly test this in Cat2 competitions, to determine the criteria and evaluate it. If the WG sees that improvements are OK for this to be implemented for Cat1, it would then recommend 2022 plenary to implement this option for cat1 events as well.

Bid from Brazil: 18th Paragliding World Championships 2023 (Annexe 34)

Brazil bid has been discussed. It has been noted that organiser is well known, team and place has been tested several times in the past hosting major events and PWC competitions. Committee supports the bid.

Proposal from Portugal (Annexe 33c): Different records for different launches.

Proposal to introduce a new type of record based on launch type for Free distance and declared distance. Cristiano Pereira sums up the proposal. The idea is winch type records should be separate from hill launch as it may give a pilot much better starting point, launching can be in much stronger wind and that it is much safer to do so.

The discussion follows the same logicas in the Committees and Delegates Basecamp exchanges. It was also discussed in the joint HG & PG meeting.

Some are for it, some are against. Arguments on both sides are many. They refer to safety, sportive, media issues. Here foot-launched must be preserved for straight distance, there we have enough categories already.

Both sides have the support of delegates, observers and record chasers.

The outcome was expected that even here we could not come to a consensus.

So it will come to a secret vote on Sunday 7.

Paragliding Aerobatic

The Paragliding Aerobatic Committee did not request an Open meeting.

There is nochange to S7B to be discussed, with the exception of a set of new synchro manoeuvres, whose execution and judgment criteria still need to be refined and validated. This process will be completed soon.

The project for the judge training seminar in Latin America presented during the last autumn meeting is making its way, we are waiting to see the evolution of the Covid situation to decide whether to confirm December 2021.

Formula and Software

Logged in and out at a different time

Chair:Igor Erzen

CIVL Bureau: Andrew Cowley, Jun Zhang, Goran Dimiskovski, Stephane Malbos, Jamie Shelden

CIVL Administrator: Elena Filonova CIMP: Maria Osiga, Juergen K Knueppel

FAI:Markus Haggeney, Agust Gundmunsson, Visa-Matti Leinikki

Argentina: Eduardo Sánchez Granel

Brazil:Thomas Milko Bulgaria:Daniel Dimov

Denmark: Niels Jorgen Askirk

Finland:Juha Herrala

France : Marc Nossin, Julien Garcia

Germany: Marc Weinsauer, Andreas Rieck

Hong Kong :Ho-Nam Chiu

Hungary:Adel Honti India:Vistap Kharas IranMohammad Razeghi

Italy:Barbara Sonzogni,Antonio Golfary Japan:Akira Mutazono,Yoshiki Oka

Kazakhstan:Igor Virshski Latvia:Inese Subevica Lithuania:Martynas Makelis Malaysia:Nurhaqimy Ismail

Netherlands: Van Dijk Benjamin New Zealand: Phil De Joux Norway: Jon Erik Staurset

PhilippinesMoonyeen Policarpio Poland: Andy Jaxa - Rozen

Portugal: Antonio José Pires Fernandes, Cristiano Pereira

Serbia: Zeljko Ovuka

Switzerland: Michael Sigel, Joerg Ewald

Thailand: Veerayuth Didyasarin, Preecha Chaisanuk

Turkey: Kamil Demirkan

United Kingdom: Phil Chettleburgh, Brett Janaway, John Stivensson

USA: Bill Hughes

Presentation of AirScore

Antonio Golfary has briefly demonstrated AirScore, a few basics on the backend and frontend side. Most of the work has been done already.

First Cat 1 competition will still be scored with FS and parallelly scored with AirScore as well to compare and ensure they work okay together producing the same results.

Documentation still has to be produced, but scorers should be able to understand the logic even without the documentation.

Output from AirScore can be downloaded to compare with FS. Phil de Joux stated he has a comparison tool and has been looking to check the differences. Phil has checked but hasn't had opportunity to look at in detail. We expect small differences will always occur. We know FS is not bulletproof. AirScore may be scoring more accurately. We are not always looking to compare one with the other. He is prepared to do other competitions. Comparison charts will have to go to the Formula and Software WG for comparison purpose and discussion.

Antonio is still testing on a local server. The plan is to move it on the FAI server by the end of February. Publicly should also be available to all at the end of February and May 1st should be fully operational albeit there may be some bugs.

Questions can also be asked directly: antoniogolfari@gmail.com

Proposals from Software WG (Annexe 30)

All proposals from the software WG have been discussed. After some discussion and clarification, there was no negative feedback on the changes.

The Open meeting supports the proposals.

Covid-19 and Cat 1s

The issue was addressed in the various meetings. Comments are reassembled here.

It is explained what the CIVL Bureau philosophy in 2020 has been: trust the organisers to take the best decision possible.

Doing the same in 2021 is an option.

The other option is that the CIVL defines the conditions in which a championship is considered as fair, safe and satisfying, in regard to the number of nations and pilots given the possibility to attend without too many restrictions.

The discussion underlines two opposite approaches.

The show must go on. A somehow diminished championship is better than no championship. The organisers are doing all they can so the championship can be held, pilots should be ready to do the same.

The uncertainty of the championship makes it difficult for pilots to get ready (take time off, get in shape, upgrade their equipment, organise transportation). Travel restrictions concerns not only open or closed borders but quarantine before and after the event. Too many countries not participating would make a championship meaningless.

The FAI Secretary General points to the Sporting Code rules (a championship is valid with 4 nations and 8 pilots) and to the Organiser Agreement (that does not include limits on the number of nations and pilots).

The CIVL President underlines that an Organiser Agreement is signed by four entities: organisers and NAC, FAI central and CIVL. A consensus must be found among all parties to maintain, cancel or postpone.

All approaches have their own logic and supporters.

The PG XC Worlds in France is the first Cat 1 on the 2021 calendar. It starts on May 19 with training days. The deadline for the PG XC World to go 'on' or 'off' has been set by the organisers at the latest on March 15, a bit more than 2 months before the event. If it takes part, the organisers will be ready to deliver as expected, with the French government support, including all Covid-related requirements.

It is impossible to anticipate what the situation will be in early March, hence it is difficult for the CIVL to set theoretical rules that could satisfy everyone. The organisers and the CIVL Bureau will have to be trusted to agree on the best decision when the time comes, very much like how they did in 2020.

The HG World will start in mid-July. We have more time to assess the situation. The HG open meeting participants state that they need more time than in PG to get ready because of the equipment issue, harder to travel with. They hope for a May 1st deadline for a decision to be taken. It was agreed that the situation should be reassessed in April.

In both these championships, committees, delegates and team leaders will be involved in the decision process.

The PG Aerobatic World will start on July 6 and was not discussed. The PG Accuracy World will start in mid-October and was not discussed.

The issue will be discussed in point 11 of the agenda: Championships Review.

2021 Cat 1 and Bids for 2023 Championships

The Bureau took the following decision...

Bids are open in all disciplines. If any 2021 World Championship is cancelled due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the organiser will be offered to organise the 2023 championship. The organiser will have to commit at the same time as the 2021 cancellation will be decided. Such postponed championships will have the priority over the bids approved by 2021 plenary. In other words, bidders for 2023 World championships should be aware that if the 2021 championships are postponed to 2023, their successful bids will be cancelled.

Discussed in the HG and PG meetings. No objection to the Bureau decision.