Caldonazzo, 12/01/2012

The Italian Gliding Commission require the following change:

Section 3 - Annex D - Paragraph 5.6

The sentence:
"The Pilot Rating Score for two seaters entries and entries shared by more than one pilot will be awarded to the pilot with the highest Ranking at the start of the competition. If neither pilot has a current Ranking it is the pilot nominated as first pilot or P1."

Should be changed as:
"The Pilot Rating Score for two seaters entries and entries shared by more than one pilot will be assigned proportionally to the Ranking score of each pilot at the start of the competition. If neither pilot has a current Ranking it is the pilot nominated as first pilot or P1."

Split the points taken by the 2 seaters team proportionally to the pilot ranking points as follows:

Let's take two good pilots both in the same National Team:

Pilot 1 has 869.7 ranking points  
Pilot 2 (the best one) has 981.1 points

Assuming that this team won a competition and get 950 points than the splitting will be:

Pilot 2 950 point  
Pilot 1 950 * 869.7/981.1 = 842.1 points

Since the ranking is the measurement of the pilot skill this proportional rule will ALWAYS keep the relative values of each pilot. If they are ranking close they will get the same points if they are far the lowest one will get significant less points than the other and no threshold is necessary. If one of the two is unclassified he will not get points. In this way it's impossible that a pilot with low score climb the rank by competing in double seaters with a better one, but at best he will keep its ranking position. If they are ranking close and they won a competition than they both will climb and no one will be penalized because they are ranking close and it's reasonable to assume they both contribute to the winning otherwise the ranking would be without sense. The today rule IMPLICITLY ASSUME the ranking points as a discriminator for the sharing of the points by establishing that the one with better score will get the whole point. The today rule just uses a NON PROPORTIONAL BUT SIMPLE TRESHOLD METRIC, while what it's proposing here is a more fair and linear PROPORTIONAL rule.
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