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INTRODUCTION

The Rules and the Judging Committees jointly met in Chotěboř, Czech Republic, on 5 August 2017 just prior to the opening of the European Advanced Aerobatic Championships.

-------------------------

In attendance:

Rules Committee (RC):

Matthieu Roulet, Chairman (FRA); Nick Buckenham (GBR), Elena Klimovich (RUS), Jürgen Leukefeld (GER), Pierre Varloteaux (FRA)

Apologies for absence: Philippe Küchler (SUI)

Judging Committee (JC):

John Gaillard, Chairman (SAF); Nick Buckenham (GBR), Mikhail Mamistov (RUS), Pierre Varloteaux (FRA)

Apologies for absence: Brian Howard (USA), Philippe Küchler (SUI)

Observers: Tamás Ábrányi (HUN), Laszlo Liskay (SAF)

After the deadline of 1 July 2017 for the submission of rules proposals, the meeting package was assembled by Rules Chairman Matthieu Roulet and distributed on 12 July to the CIVA Bureau, RC / JC / GAC / CC members, and to all CIVA Delegates.

In this report, we have summarized the actions taken by RC/JC Committees on the Power proposals (applicable to Section 6 Part 1). Actions on Glider proposals taken by the GAC (applicable to Section 6 Part 2) are reported in a separate Agenda report. “Urgent” proposals which were submitted after the WGAC/WAGAC, EAAC and WAC, and classified as EPs and SPs, are presented in a separate Agenda report. The Catalogue Committee report is also a separate document in the Agenda Package.

Those proposals submitted by Delegates which did not survive the RC / JC review are not included in this report, for the sake of brevity.

Passing the review is the result of a majority decision by the attending Committee members, that those proposals shall be considered by the Plenary. Please note that passing this review does not necessarily imply that the RC / JC recommends those proposals to be adopted.

Also for the sake of brevity, proposals are not reproduced in full in this report. Please refer to the CIVA “Rules Proposals for 2018” document for full details and rationales.

Matthieu Roulet
Chairman, CIVA Rules Committee
10 September 2017
NP #2018-2:
Source: FRA #1
Document: Section 6 Part 1
Subject: Signalling Procedures when start in inverted flight

Proposal Summary:

- If the first figure in a programme or the first figure after an interruption begins in inverted flight, allow all wing rocks to be performed in upright flight, prior to a half roll (in addition to the already allowed procedures).

NP #2018-5:
Source: RUS #1
Document: Section 6 Part 1
Subject: Box Marks : Arrow Signs

Proposal Summary:

- Return the arrow sign to aerobatic box marks to show into the Official Wind direction.

Note from RC Chairman:

- The arrow signs in Power existed until 2012. They still exist in Glider.
FPS WG Proposal:

Source: FPS WG
Document: Section 6 Appendix on Statistical Method for Processing Scores
Subject: Improving stability of scores through “Proportional FPS”

Note from RC Chairman:

- This FPS WG proposal is not subject to decision by the RC/JC. A final version of the proposal will be referred to Plenary by the FPS WG under a separate agenda item, for a vote on adoption.
- Nevertheless the proposal was set for review by the RC/JC/GAC, towards recommendations for amendments if any.
- The RC/JC:
  - acknowledge the merits of this proposal,
  - take note that the FPS WG intends to carry out further detailed work prior to plenary in order to finalize the proposed solution,
  - and have no recommendations for amendments at this stage.
Appendix 1 – Initial list of proposals from the “Rules Proposals for 2018” document

Highlighted in Yellow: Proposals for which the GAC and the RC/JC were to aim for a common position (none this year).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIVA#</th>
<th>NAC</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>S/C or WG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP2018-1</td>
<td>FIN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of figures in Free Known</td>
<td>RC / GAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP2018-2</td>
<td>FRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Signalling Procedures when start in inverted flight</td>
<td>RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP2018-3</td>
<td>GER</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Height Limits</td>
<td>GAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP2018-4</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Optional Roll elements in P-Loops</td>
<td>CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP2018-5</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Box Marks : Arrow Signs</td>
<td>RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP2018-6</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aircraft restrictions in Intermediate</td>
<td>RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>FPS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FPS Working Group Proposal</td>
<td>RC / JC / GAC / Plenary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2 – Check-list on all items in the “Rules Proposals for 2018” document

*In red what was discussed in the RC/JC meeting*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>In this RC/JC report (incl. with amendments)</th>
<th>Rejected by RC/JC or Withdrawn</th>
<th>Glider</th>
<th>Catalogue</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP 2018-1</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RC/JC in disagreement with provided rationale -- e.g. Free Known vs [Known + Free] is not seen as giving advantage to more powerful / newer gen aircraft (quite the opposite in fact); National championship in SAF this year was won by a Pitts; if there is any advantage in having a more powerful / newer gen aircraft to win a competition, it is much more evident in Unknowns rather than in the Free Known.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2018-2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2018-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2018-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2018-5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2018-6</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Starting the same path as what happened with the evolution of limitation rules in Adv is not desired. Pilot skill is what should be encouraged. Moreover, pilots starting their progression by competing in Int may not like to have to buy a new airplane for the next steps…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPS WG</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See comments p.3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>