

70th FAI/IPC PLENARY MEETING, MOSCOW, RUSSIA, 25 – 26 JANUARY 2020

Subject:	Chief Judge Report, 10TH FAI WC of Canopy Formation and 8th FAI European CF Championships	Annex No.	14.1
Author:	Gillian Rayner, Chief Judge	Agenda Ref.	14.1
Date:	20 August 2019	Total pages	2



CHIEF JUDGE'S STANDARD REPORT

Competition:	10th FAI WORLD CUP OF CANOPY FORMATION & 8th FAI EUROPEAN CANOPY FORMATION CHAMPIONSHIPS
Event:	
Location:	STREJNIC AIRFIELD, PLOIESTI, ROMANIA
Date:	17 – 20 August 2019
Panel of Judges:	CHIEF JUDGE - Gillian Rayner EVENT JUDGES - Jenni Plumridge, Julia Sotnikova JUDGES: Gail Bradley, Mario Fabretti, Patrice Moyeuve, Agnieszka Sobczynska
Scoring Equipment Used:	In Time by Namespace. Support provided by T. Courtin
Details of the Judges Work: <i>(including judging statistics)</i>	The CJ and EJ arrived a day early to get everything ready for the Judges Seminar and the Official Training Jumps. The Judges Seminar lasted the full day judging both the official training jumps performed by the competitors and the seminar training jumps. A total of 48 trainings jumps were judged. The competition went smoothly and the judges worked well as a team. The assistant to the Chief Judge was always available to help and provide what was needed. In total there were 186 competition jumps which makes a grand total of 234 jumps judged during the week.
Recommendations for Organisers:	No recommendations. The organisation was excellent. The working conditions were comfortable, clean and air conditioned. The electronic equipment provided including the TV, were of excellent quality. We can only congratulate the organiser for doing an excellent job.
Rule Changes – Proposals:	Before the start of the competition, we asked the jury to accept a wording change of 2.11 from The working time begins at the moment of the first separation of a grip from the first correct formation, or.... to The working time begins at the moment of the first separation of a grip from the first scoring formation correct or not, or.... We hope this will be adopted in the 2020 rules. During the competition we had a problem with 5.3.2. which raises the question of what is a "point". We refer to "points in time" and these include all scored formations whether they are correct or not. Consequently one can say that there are positive and

	<p>negative points in addition to which there can be added penalties. Although the wording is identical to that of 5.1.3. and 5.2.3. for 4-way Rotation we found it difficult to apply in one instance. "Teams will be awarded one point for the first correctly completed formation and every correctly completed 4-way plane/stack formation within the working time". The first correctly completed formation was actually the second formation because the 1st formation was never visibly built and exploded. So following the rule, the first formation was not scored at all and scoring started from the first correct formation. The alternative would have been to score the first formation as a bust and that would have been a point but a negative point.</p> <p>I discussed this with Rina and we need to think about how to word things more accurately to avoid this situation in the future.</p>
<p>Personal Remarks:</p>	<p>This was my first time as a Chief Judge and was an interesting, enriching experience. Judge selection is not as easy as it may appear and the pool of CF judges is very limited. Team management is second level and the choice of EJ is important. Due to the small number of CF competitions throughout the world, it is difficult for judges to get experience other than through their own work. It is perhaps advisable to do some collective practise through internet prior to the meeting.</p>
<p>In accordance with the Sporting Code Section 5, 6.9.1. (11) this form is to be completed and sent to the Chair of the Judges' Committee and the IPC Recording Secretary within 30 days of the competition's completion.</p>	

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Gillian Rayner electronic signature</p>
<p>Signature:</p>	
<p>Date:</p>	<p>20/08/2019</p>