

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>Subject:</i> | PROPOSALS TO AMEND FS&AL CRs, CANOPY FORMATION CRs & SC5 | <i>Annex No. -</i> | 17 |
| <i>Author:</i> | FFP, Patrice Girardin, IPC Delegate for France | <i>Agenda ref. -</i> | 12.4.2 14.3.2 22.2.2 |
| <i>Date:</i> | 05 Nivember 2015 | <i>Page -</i> | 1 of 2 |

IPC AGENDA 2016 FRENCH PROPOSALS

Section 5 and all competitions set of rules :

- to replace in all the Sporting Code section 5 and all the competitions sets of rules the words « Team Manager », by « Team leader ».

Reason: the general section refers to the « Team leader », never the « Team manager » in particular about the « protest process ». The different sets of rules are not the place to interpret a position with its synonyms.

Or

- to mention in Sporting code section 5 and all the competitions set of rules that the words « Team Manager » has to be understood as the « Team Leader » of the GS.

Competitions rules Freefall Style and accuracy landing :

6.1.2.1 : Two separate sets of score sheets will be completed. The Event Judge ~~and team captain/individual~~ sign one copy, which goes to the Scoring section. The Event Judge retains the other copy. At least one judge will check the results of the scoring section.

Reason: the signature of the team captain or individual has no value. It doesn't avoid any protest even against the score, and nowhere in the code it is mentioned the consequence of a refusal.

Keeping the signature of the team captain/individual make it mandatory to write the value and the consequences of a refusal.

Discussion : is the signature the évidence of the approval of the score ?

Compétitions rules Canopy Formation :

Current version

5.2.4. **Omissions**: For each omission of a formation in a round, two points will be deducted from the score of that round otherwise obtained. However, the scoring will not be affected if the team goes back to correctly complete the omitted formation.

5.2.4.1 An attempt to complete a formation, although incorrect or incomplete, demonstrated by at least 3 canopies connected with grips, and in the formation required by the drawn sequence, will be judged as an incorrect formation, not as an omission.

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Subject:</i> | PROPOSALS TO AMEND FS&AL CRs, CANOPY FORMATION CRs & SC5 | <i>Annex No. -</i> | 17 |
| <i>Author:</i> | FFP, Patrice Girardin, IPC Delegate for France | <i>Agenda ref. -</i> | 12.4.2 14.3.2 22.2.2 |
| <i>Date:</i> | 05 November 2015 | <i>Page -</i> | 2 of 2 |

Replaced by

5.2.4. Omissions: Omission of a formation will stop the scoring at that formation. The next formation to score is the second correctly completed formation following the last omission.

Scoring may also continue if the team goes back to correctly complete the omitted formation, incorrect formation or formation prior to the incorrect inter requirement.

5.2.4.1 An attempt to complete a formation, although incorrect or incomplete, demonstrated by keeping correct inter and clearly showing intention to move to the next sequence, will be judged as an incorrect formation, not as an omission.

Reason : the 3 canopies connected with grips as no value in the situation of 2 blocs 2 +2.

3.5.1. Where only one round is completed, the team that has the best score for that one round shall be the winner. If more than one team has the same score, the team with the fastest time within working time, calculated to the second decimal point, shall be the winner.

3.5.2. Where more than one round is completed, the team that has the best score ~~after discarding their lowest score in the completed rounds (a disqualification or penalty score may not be discarded)~~, calculated as follows:

- i the highest aggregate number of formations in the completed rounds.
- ii tie break round (if possible) for the first three (3) places only.
- iii the highest number of points in any completed round for each team.
- iv the fastest time in the round(s) with the highest points.

3.5.2.1 Tied teams placed lower than third remain tied.

3.5.2.2 In a situation of one or more opening malfunctions in the same round, the team will be awarded a rejump (joker). Only one rejump (joker) for this purpose may be awarded to the same team for all the competition. If a team has completed the first formation, no rejump will be awarded. The chief judge is in charge to determine how to control the opening.

Reason: the French teams would like to be scored on the complete rounds