

Paragliding Open Meeting Agenda

As per CIVL Internal Regulations...

“Committees and Working Groups may hold Open meetings just prior to the Plenary meeting. The aim of this meeting is to present and promote discussion of the work of the Committee or Working Group during the past year, in particular:

- *those issues that have resulted in proposals brought to the Plenary Agenda by that Committee or Working Group,*
- *other Plenary Agenda proposals that may have an impact on the work of the Committee or Working Group,*
- *to create a work plan for new issues arising in the coming year.*

The views aired at an Open meeting should be thoroughly considered by the members of the Committee or Working Group, which may result in the decision to amend the proposals brought to the Plenary by that Committee or Working Group and which may necessitate a Closed meeting following the Open meeting.

Alternatively, the Delegate can propose an amendment at the Plenary.

The Committee or Working Group cannot introduce proposals on new business discussed immediately prior to the Plenary...

In an Open meeting, the Chair may allow all in attendance to contribute ideas and information to the discussions. The Chair may ask for an indicative show of hand ballot on specific matters. In this case, only one vote per FAI member nation is normally accepted.”

- Study of bids for the 2017 World championships.

We remind everyone that it is the delegates that make the decision, not the PG Committee, not this Open meeting.

The PG-C has reviewed the bids and think that none is basically bad.

- Eligibility of pilots and exception to eligibility.

Discuss the PG-C proposals (Annex 17).

World: top 500 or 40 pts.

Continents: top 500 (continent WPRS) or 20 pts.

Exception possible.

Who gives the exception is not defined. In HG, it is the screening committee (3 members, at least 2 from the Bureau, the steward or the Jury president being the 3rd member).

- Glider controls

(Issue raised by Roldanillo PG World)

Necessity to update risers material and measurement.

Necessity to forbid external aids for speed bar etc.

Current contract with Cortella (handbook and video on glider controls).

D. Cortella, PG-C and Bureau could be trusted by the Plenary to act on the matter.

- Airspace restriction and penalties

(Issue raised by Roldanillo PG World)

Some pilots do not like / understand the new rule penalizing them 20 m before they enter the restricted zone.

No change of rule proposed to this Plenary.

Issue could be addressed this coming year when we define common rules with HG-C.

The steward/meet director would make sure that in the Macedonian PG Euro practice event, the rule and restrictions are well known; the height/boundary at which penalties begin should be prominently displayed on the task board as well as the one in the airspace file.

- FTV

(Issue raised by Kopaonik Euro and Roldanillo World)

Most of PG-C want to keep it but agree that it is difficult to read for pilots and outsiders alike. There is a question of whether penalties can be discarded or should be applied after FTV discards.

- Real Leading points

There seems to be a consensus that this or something like it is needed.
Software group resources are needed to allow testing the concept in Cat. 2s.

- Adjustments of CCC requirements for years 2015 and 2016.

Keep the weight range as it is: 100-125, and forget about the 95-125 implementation in 2016. Remind that a larger weight range (95-125) is a fundamental aspect of CCC (pilot of – almost – all size can fly competitive gliders).

- Long term evolution of competition and scoring rules.

Multiple star gates
Mandatory camera
Meet Director / Task setter seminar

- Speed riding competition and records.

The Bureau was requested to give its advice on the matter. Its answer was that CIVL would take care of it internationally if before, nationally, there was implementation of a large enough number of competitions and records.

- Any other item...