Proposal for a change in judges training and nomination process

Question from the Test on general section & section 5 at the end of a judges training course:

International Jury members and FAI judges are international officials acting on behalf of the

☑ FAI
☑ Organiser
☒ NAC

If the judges represent the FAI and not their NACs, why the NACS has such a great impact and power over the judges nomination process?

A Judges training course is an important education tool, not only to those who wish to judge in competitions, but also to competitors, coaches and whoever wants to dig deep and get better understanding of the competition rules.

Some NACs holds back and deny approval of talented, experienced judges, from attending judges training courses, while other NACs send judges with very minimal experience (1 competition with 2 teams) to an FAI training course.

The proposal -
1. Anyone who wish to participate in a non FAI training should be allowed to do so.
   If the NAC wish to use the new trained judge as a national judge – its up to them.

2. Any judge with the relevant required experience can attend the FAI training course.

3. To encourage pro flyers to join the judging panels, Any competitor who won the podium on a first category event, may participate in an FAI judges training course in the same discipline, within 3 years of the achievement.

➔ The list of judges who passed the FAI training course is in the hand of the IPC.
Judges will be responsible to submit request to be nominated to competition panels. (simple online request form).

Another point to discuss
A lot of competitors claim they will be happy to judge, but two years to get the FAI rating is too long. Is it necessary to have 2 years of judging prior to FAI course?
Now days there are so many competitions, indoor and outdoor, the experience should be measured by number of competition / rounds / competitors and not by time.
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