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6.1.2 Criteria for Judge Training and Evaluation

(1) All FAI IPC Judge Training will be coordinated by an IPC Judge Training Course Coordinator who is appointed by the IPC Judges Committee.

(2) The IPC Judge Training Course Coordinator will provide guidelines for training and evaluating Judges. These guidelines will include a schedule, training tips, interpretations of the rules and where applicable, the current interpretations of the manoeuvres.

(3) Any evaluation will be carried out by the CJT, designated by the IPC Judges Committee. He must use material provided by the IPC Judge Training Course Coordinator.

(4) Written Evaluation of the Sporting Code and the Competition Rules

The written evaluation is an open-book examination. It consists of:

(a) One examination on the contents of the Sporting Code; and
(b) One examination on the contents of the relevant Competition Rules.

The candidate shall be given a maximum time of two (2) hours in which to complete both examinations, under the supervision of the CJT.

In order to achieve a passing grade, at least 90% of the questions on each examination must be answered correctly.

(5) Evaluation in ST, FS, CF, AE, IAE and WS Acrobatic

(a) Evaluation Method

The evaluation will be made using recording media and must include a minimum of 30 jumps/performances from recent competitions in the specific discipline and approved by the Judges Committee. Where applicable, copies of the relevant supporting paperwork must also be provided by the Judge Video Librarian.

A definitive result/score for each jump/performance will be established by the CJT and FAI Judges appointed by the Judges Committee including assessments and penalties and will be considered the “approved score”. The defining panel (CJT and FAI Judges appointed by the IPC Judges Committee) will make allowances for judgement decisions relevant to the particular discipline. For example, in ST a range of angles, which may include zero, as a penalty; in FS and CF these may include the case where correct or incorrect would be considered a valid assessment of a point score. Details of evaluation calculations can be found in the CJT Handbook.

(b) Minimum Evaluation Standard

The evaluation of each judge will be made against the definitive result/score as defined in 6.1.2(5)(a). To achieve a pass on their evaluation skills in FS or CF each judge’s evaluation must agree with 80% of the approved score penalties.

For FS and CF: The total points awarded by each judge may not differ from the approved score total points by more than plus or minus 10%. For ST: At least 90% of the assessments must agree with the approved score.

For AE, IAE and WS Acrobatic: the judges under evaluation must score each jump within one point of the definitive results/score in at least 80% of the jumps evaluated.

(6) Evaluation in AL, CP, SP and WS Performance

a) AL, CP and SP: The evaluation will be carried out during a competition under the control of the CJT.

b) WS Performance: The evaluation may be carried out during a competition under the control of the CJT or at another time using data from a previous competition.

(7) Qualification as an FAI rated judge.

Any judge under evaluation who achieves passing grades in both written (6.1.2(4)) and practical (6.1.2(5) and/or 6.1.2(6)) at the same course, will be awarded his rating as an FAI Parachuting Judge...
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The Judges Committee had to experience new situations this year where it appeared mandatory to complete access to the function of FAI Judge. Currently it is very difficult to control the level of qualification of national judges wishing to access this function through their NAC. In addition, in the case of an annual renewal, or a reinstatement or requalification, we are not able to assess the level of the candidate and his involvement before the tests. Currently there is no time limit between two attempts to access these opportunities. The problem arises only if the candidate fails. We have to decide on the application for requalification of an FAI judge who had just failed a requalification and who wanted less than 10 days after retaking the same tests, taking advantage of a selection as a judge in an FCE in another discipline. The Judges Committee, questioned, rejected this opportunity, which set a precedent and deprived other judges of the opportunity. Finally to be quite fair the tests need to be completely modified which require a very important work of the IPC Judge Training Course Coordinator.

A 6 months delay between two attempts seemed appropriate to address these malfunctions.

FINANCIAL POLICY

OLD

IPC Expense Reimbursement Policy

Eligible Expenses

The IPC will reimburse the following expenses:

1. Travel and other out-of-pocket expenses for the IPC President (or his/her substitute) for travel to the IPC Plenary Meeting, the FAI General Conference, ASC Presidents’ meetings, CASI Meetings or any other function where he/she represents the IPC.

2. Travel, food, (excluding drinks of any kind), accommodation and any visa cost (see below for maximum visa claim) incurred by the Jury Members at an FCE (SC5 4.7.1.10). However, no expenses will be paid for the Jury at a Para-Ski FCE.

3. Travel and any visa cost (see below for maximum visa claim) incurred by an FAI Judge at an FCE (SC54.1.4.3).

4. Travel, food, accommodation and any visa cost (see below for maximum visa claim) incurred by an IPC Officer conducting IPC business as approved by the IPC Bureau (for example Media Liaison or WAG Liaison Officer).

5. Telephone, Fax and other communication costs connected with IPC business incurred by IPC Bureau Members and IPC Officers.
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2. Travel, food, (excluding drinks of any kind), accommodation and any visa cost (see below for maximum visa claim) incurred by the Jury Members at an FCE (SC5 4.7.1.10). However, no expenses will be paid for the Jury at a Para-Ski FCE.

3. Travel, food, (on travel days), and any visa cost (see below for maximum visa claim) incurred by an FAI Judge at an FCE (SC54.1.4.3).

4. Travel, food, accommodation and any visa cost (see below for maximum visa claim) incurred by an IPC Officer conducting IPC business as approved by the IPC Bureau (for example Media Liaison or WAG Liaison Officer)

5. Telephone, Fax and other communication costs connected with IPC business incurred by IPC Bureau Members and IPC Officers.

RATIONAL

To comply with the instructions provided by the chief judge, the selected judge must use means of travel that requires food before or after their use.

Example: the flight chosen to comply with the check-in procedure, the judge must reach the airport several hours before what requires food. Currently the food costs are not covered and the judge is penalized. This situation discriminates against others involved in the conduct of a FCE, who are reimbursed. The Chair of IPC or his representative is reimbursed for all expenses related to his work. The same is true for the members of the jury as well as for the IPC Officers. Only judges who are an essential part of a FCE are excluded from this opportunity. This discrimination is unacceptable and needs to be corrected given that the financial impact of certain functions in the organization of a FCE may be reduced.
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Annex to Section 5: chapters 4 and 6 to define the requirements of the Judging and Scoring Systems to be used at FCEs

5 - Speed Skydiving

1. Jumps in a SP-competition are measured with two L&B Protracks (PT) each. At the moment these are the only SMDs approved for SP-Competitions. PTs are recording barometric pressure, one measurement as ground reference directly before take-off, 4 measurements per second during the jump. The result is a recorded pressure-profile of the jump.

2. The Software must be capable to read out the ground-pressure and the profile via the infrared-interface of the PT

3. The calculation of the speed must be using the altitude-formula (TAS) published by L&B

4. The results must be verifiable by exporting the barometric readings, elevation values and visualization of the profile.

5. It is necessary to prove that the results are identical with Speedware or JumpTrack interpolation method.

6. The exact calculation method is submitted to the SP subcommittee and is presented on request.

7. It must be demonstrated that the download and evaluation of 16 profiles (8 skydivers) can be carried out permanently within 15 min. A Judge must be able to determine 8 results within the duration of a single load.

8. The results must either be exportable to a spreadsheet, or the software must allow the direct creation of all rule-compliant score sheets for a competition.
7 - Wingsuit Flying:
7-1 - Performance Flying:
An official certified GPS system to record the flight of the competitor, speed, distance and duration.
A computerised system capable of taking the results from the GPS device and converting it to calculate the competition requirements according to the competition rules and give a print out of these results and a ranking order for the competition. It must also be capable of sending these results to a public display system and to results sites of both IPC and the Organiser, without sponsorship logos etc.
The system provider must ensure that all portable devices are fully charged and available for use during the competition.
The organiser must provide sufficient secure electrical connections to enable this.

7-2 - Acrobatic Flying:
See FS/VFS/CF/AE.

For any new discipline the proposed scoring system must be tested, evaluated and accepted by the Judges Committee and the Discipline(s) Committee(s) before being offered to Organisers bidding to host an FCE.
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5 – Speed Skydiving
An official certified SMD device to record the flight of the competitor, speed, distance and Time.
A computerised system capable of taking the results from the GPS device and converting it to calculate the competition requirements according to the competition rules and give a print out of these results and a ranking order for the competition. It must also be capable of sending these results to a public display system and to results sites of both IPC and the Organiser, without sponsorship logos etc.
The scoring system software must be capable of distinguishing more than one competition using the same results i.e. World Cup and Continental Championships and Team Standings. The organizer must ensure that enough SMD devices are available for use during the competition.
The organiser must provide sufficient secure electrical connections for charging and powering the equipment.
The organiser must provide a separate reliable internet. Separate so it is not affected by use of others.
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Acrobatic Flying
The same requirements as for FS, CF and AE with regard to dubbing stations and judges and public displays, and for the results production.
The organizer must ensure that enough SMD devices are available for use during the competition.
The organiser must provide sufficient secure electrical connections for charging and powering the equipment.

For any new disciplines the proposed scoring system needs to be tested evaluated and accepted by the Judges committee and the discipline committee before being offered to organisers with a view to awarding an FCE.
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The evolution of the materials used by competitors, the emergence of new means of judgment and changes in the rules of competition have imposed an update of this annex 54 a.
1. RESPONSIBILITY of IPC RULES AND REGULATIONS COMMITTEE

The responsibility of the IPC Rules and Regulations Committee is to prepare, maintain and publish the FAI CHIEF JUDGE HANDBOOK.

ORGANISATION, AUTHORITY AND REGULATIONS

1. RESPONSIBILITY of IPC RULES AND REGULATIONS COMMITTEE

The responsibility of the IPC Rules and Regulations Committee is to prepare, maintain and publish the FAI CHIEF JUDGE HANDBOOK.
3.2. ON ARRIVAL AT THE EVENT

The Chief Judge:

Will arrive in sufficient time to fulfil all the duties required of a CJ.

Will meet with all competition officials and support staff and obtain their contact details (see Annexes).

Will liaise with the FAI Controller and ensure that the information board and official scoreboard are in place; verify that the judging rooms are suitable and fully equipped (copying machines, printers, stationary and office supplies) and that the scoring system is operational before the start of the Judges’ Conference.

Together with ACJ will ensure that suitable toilets, a rest area, refreshments (water, coffee), air conditioning, etc. are available for all judges.

Will verify the arrival of all judges and ensure they are settled into their accommodation and make a list of room numbers, contact details, etc. (see annexes).

Will determine communication methods both at the drop zone and at the hotel(s) to ensure that judges are aware of the daily programme, time-table and the schedule of buses (when applicable).

Will meet with EJs and prepare: the running of the Judges’ Conference, the panel breakdown, the way they will record jumps to be saved for future Judge training, how they will record details pertaining to penalties in addition to that in the scoring system drop down boxes and any other relevant matters raised by the discipline Committee.

Will run the Judges’ Conference together with the EJs, following which, they will be responsible for ensuring that the panel of judges meets the qualification standard. The CJ has the right to dismiss a judge who does not meet this requirement.

Collect Judges Log books

Will inform the Organiser and the Jury President that all is in working order.

Will conduct any relevant draw(s) as required by the competition rules.

Will attend the Team Leader meeting together with the EJs and Judges and, in liaison with the organiser, will brief the competitors, as necessary, and introduce his panel of judges.

3.3. DURING THE EVENT

The Chief Judge:

Will conduct a daily judges meeting (morning and/or evening).
Will plan at least one social evening for the Judges.
Will ensure compliance with the FAI Sporting Code and competition rules during the competition working as necessary with the meet director and other competent personnel.
Will control the evaluation of score sheets and will ensure prompt publication of the results on the official scoreboard. If an electronic judging and scoring system is used with public viewing, he also needs to monitor it. If a potential world or continental record is established during a competition, the CJ Jury will provide the relevant NAC FAI with the appropriate documentation concerning the record (description of records, certified copies of the score sheets, etc.).

Will review the travel expense claim forms for the Judges and will confirm attendance at the event by signing the claim form. (No longer needed)

3.4. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE EVENT

The FAI Chief Judge:
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Housekeeping according FAI Controller and Jury Handbooks.