Proposed Changes to the Canopy Piloting Competition Rules

Existing rule wording - in italic Changes: words remaining - in black - deletion or change in strikethrough red - new wording - in blue (Explanation) - black italic - in {}

1. 5.4 OFFICIAL PRACTICE PERIOD. Make at least one training jump mandatory for all competitors.

Existing

5.4 Official Practice Period

5.4.1 The official practice period (OPP) is the period of three days before the official start date of the competition. The dates and other details pertaining to the OPP must be included in the Official Information Bulletins.

5.4.2 The organiser must provide the opportunity for practice jumps for the competitors on all event courses during the OPP.

Change

5.4 Official Practice Period

5.4.1 The official practice period (OPP) is the period of two days before the official start date of the competition. The dates and other details pertaining to the OPP must be included in the Official Information Bulletins.

5.4.2 The organiser must provide the opportunity for practice jumps for the competitors on all event courses during the OPP.

5.4.3 During the OPP all competitors must make at least one training jump on the course. It is the responsibility of the Competitor to comply with this rule in order to compete. This rule may be waived by mutual agreement of the FAI controller and the Chief Judge.

2. 5.5 JUMP ORDER AND EXIT ASSIGNMENT. National CP teams do not need to be grouped together.

Existing

5.5.2 Competitors that form a national CP team will be grouped together with the best-ranked member of the team in accordance with §5.5.1. If no members of a national team participated in the most recent FCE, the jump order of all such teams will be added next to this order and have their jump order determined by random draw made by the Chief Judge, (see §3.3 for composition of national teams).

5.5.4 Each national team will exit on the same pass if possible and as determined by the Meet Director.

Change

5.5.2 Competitors that form a national CP team will be grouped together with the best-ranked member of the team in accordance with §5.5.1. If no members of a national team participated in the most recent FCE, the jump order of all such teams will be added next to this order and have their jump order determined by random draw made by the Chief Judge, (see §3.3 for composition of national teams).

5.5.4 Each national team will exit on the same pass if possible and as determined by the Meet Director.
3. **F.3 ZONE ACCURACY COURSE.** Modify the value of the zones to avoid ties.

(The proposal is to create a zone inside of the current Centre Zone *as in the drawing appended to the minutes - Annex I*) with the centre zone still having a value of 50 points and the two new zones adjacent to the Centre Zone having a value of 48 points.

At the moment, considering that all water gates are equally scored, a competitor landing down in Z2 would get the same score as a competitor landing standing up in Z1. The proposal is to change Z1 to 3 points. This would encourage stand up landings and may reduce the chance of injuries. This modification is in line with the rest of the scoring layout. *(as in the drawing appended to the minutes - Annex I).*

(Addendum D will be modified accordingly.)

4. **A.6.2 COURSE MARKERS.** Better define the markers and other equipment on the course.

**Existing**

A.6.2 Course markers for G1 in all events, G2, G3, G4 in Carved Speed and also G5 in Drag-Distance must be approximately a minimum of 0.20 metres in diameter above the surface and be a minimum of 0.20 metres in diameter.

**Change**

A.6.2 Course markers for G1 in all events, G2, G3, G4 and G5 in Carved Speed and also G5 in Drag-Distance and G6 in 70m Distance must be approximately a minimum of 0.20 metres in diameter and be 1.5 metres in height +/- 5 cm, measured from the surface. Above the surface and be a minimum of 0.20 metres in diameter. They must be fixed in position in such a way that the centre axis of the marker may only move a maximum of 10 cm from their approved position.

**Existing**

A.4.3 For safety reasons, the water level must be kept high enough to provide a smooth transition from the water level to the ground surface around the exit sides of the pond *(as a rule of thumb a difference of approx. two-finger-width or less).*

**Change**

A.4.3 For safety reasons, the water level must be kept high enough to provide a smooth transition from the water level to the ground surface around the exit sides of the pond *(as a rule of thumb a difference of approx. two-finger-width or less).* *(maximum 5 cm allowance)*

5. **Better define the safety procedures and the procedure for closing the course.**

**Existing**

- 

**Change**

5.4.3 During the Official Practice Period and before the start of the competition, an official course closing drill must be conducted by the CJ. The time of which must be announced in advance, so that all officials and competitors can attend. This must be a full practice, and is mandatory for all judges and officials, including the use of the smoke, the emergency medical personnel, and a simulated call to the ambulance. The FAI Controller must approve of the system that was practiced and report the results to the Jury prior to the start of the competition.

6. **6.8 CALCULATION OF POINTS.** Implement new calculation procedure to convert to points, avoiding % calculations.

*(After some discussions the proposal is to implement a new scoring system for the WG and maintain the current scoring system for the CP World Cup in Dubai 2017. The proposal will be brought forward again next year for discussion.)*

---
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The objective of this new method is to simplify and make our discipline more understandable, round after round.

The procedure is to take the raw scores from a given round and with that assign a ranking position. Add all the rankings from all completed rounds together and with that figure determine the Overall Champions.)

(FOR THE WG RULES)

Existing

6.9 CALCULATION OF POINTS

The calculation to convert the scores of each round into points is as follows:

6.9.1 The competitors are ranked in each round of each event in order of the actual score collated for this round (Distance and Zone Accuracy, highest score first, Speed, lowest score first).

6.9.2 Distance and Zone Accuracy: The score of the top ranked competitor in each round is set to 100%, expressed as 100 points. The remaining competitors’ scores of the round are calculated as a percentage of the top ranked competitor’s result – expressed in points, calculated to the third decimal place with no rounding applied.

6.9.3 Speed: Each recorded score is raised to the power of 1.333, calculated and displayed to the third decimal with no rounding applied. The resulting calculated score of the top ranked competitor in each round is set to 100%, expressed as 100 points. The remaining competitors’ scores for the round are calculated as the inverse percentage of the top ranked competitor’s result, expressed in points, and calculated to the third decimal place with no rounding applied.

Change

6.9 CALCULATION OF POINTS RANKING CALCULATION

The ranking calculation of each round into points is as follows:

6.9.1 The competitors are ranked in each round of each event in order of the actual score collated for this round (Distance and Zone Accuracy, highest score first, Speed, lowest score first).

6.9.2 Distance and Zone Accuracy: The score of the top ranked competitor in each round is set to 100%, expressed as 100 points. The remaining competitors’ scores of the round are calculated as a percentage of the top ranked competitor’s result – expressed in points, calculated to the third decimal place with no rounding applied.

6.9.2 In Zone Accuracy the score of the top ranked competitor in each round is expressed as total amount of points collated. Competitor with the highest score is ranked first place, competitor with lowest score is ranked last place, the rest of the competitors are ranked following a logical numerical order.

6.9.3 Speed: Each recorded score is raised to the power of 1.333, calculated and displayed to the third decimal with no rounding applied. The resulting calculated score of the top ranked competitor in each round is set to 100%, expressed as 100 points. The remaining competitors’ scores for the round are calculated as the inverse percentage of the top ranked competitor’s result, expressed in points, and calculated to the third decimal place with no rounding applied.

6.9.3 In Distance the score of the top ranked competitor in each round is expressed as the maximum distance achieved. Competitor with highest score is ranked top, competitor with lowest score is ranked last, the rest of the competitors are ranked following a logical numerical order.
6.9.4. In Speed the score of the top ranked competitor in each round is expressed as minimum time achieved. Competitor with lowest time is ranked first, competitor with highest time is ranked last place, the rest of the competitors are ranked following a logical numerical order.

7 ADDENDUM D: Define material to be used in the accuracy landing pit.

Existing

D.7 Demarcation-lines mark the areas separating each zone. As with the sidelines, they must be made of material to minimize injury, to be able to be quickly repaired, to be wide enough so to be clearly visible from above, and must be acceptable to the CTD and CJ.

Change

D.7 Demarcation-lines mark the areas separating each zone. As with the sidelines, they must be made of material to minimize injury, to be able to be quickly repaired, to be wide enough so to be clearly visible from above, and must be acceptable to the CTD and CJ.

The accuracy pit should be filled with Pea Gravel, or similar material, ranging from 2 mm to 10 mm in diameter, and the pit should have a filled depth of at least 30cm. The Pea Gravel should be level with any part of the course or land that is adjacent to it, i.e. the edge of the pond, or the continuation of the Distance course for example.

Both of the above specifications must be acceptable to the FAI Controller.

8 SC 5. Reduce the number of Judges by allowing the Chief Judge to use assistants from the Organisation.

{The proposal is to modify SC 5 4.6.1 and reduce the number of judges from 11 judges to 7 judges and the other 4 persons must be provided by the Organiser. They should be approved by the CJ and would be desirable for them to have at least National Judge rating.}

9 REGARDING DURATION OF THE CP COMPETITIONS

Existing

- Change

3.4.5 The competition will run in a maximum of six days, D1 OPP, D2 OPP and Opening Ceremony, D3 + D4 + D5 Competition days, D6 Competition day and Closing Ceremony.

10 REGARDING WEIGHT CHECKS

Existing

5.3.3 DWIPE at the time of weighing carried out by the FAI Controller or a person designated, is the basis to define the maximum amount of additional individual weight allowed in accordance with the list in Addendum E.

Change

5.3.3 DWIPE at the time of weighing carried out by the FAI Controller or a person designated, is the basis to define the maximum amount of additional individual weight allowed in accordance with the list in Addendum E.

5.3.3 At the time of the weighing carried out by the FAI Controller, or a person designated by the FAI Controller, DWIPE is calculated and recorded. DWIPE is the basis to define the maximum amount of additional individual weight allowed in accordance with the list in Addendum E.

11 REGARDING TIE BREAKS FOR THE WG
{Due to the new scoring system the tie break rules in 9.2 will be modified in such a way that the competitors with the best ranking positions in the completed rounds are defined as winners.}