Scoring Working Group Minutes

From: Marc Andre, Erwin Pellegrom
Meeting: Eresin Hotels Topkapi, 12 March 2019, 10:40-12:30

1. Role call, apologies for absence.
   - Marc Andre (member)
   - Erwin Pellegrom (member)
   - David Bareford (member)
   - Steve Ireland (member)
   - Zoltán Pálhegyi (observer)

   Excused: Bengt Stener

2. Review 2018 Scoring Working Group minutes.
   - No comments.

3. Review 2018 CIA Plenary minutes and SWG actions.
   - No action required for SWG.

4. Items referred to the SWG from Event Director’s and Jury President’s Reports, from other SCs or WGs before or during the CIA Meeting or discussions of items currently published on the CIA-SWG Forum.
   - Some discussion about time limits, conclusion is the make the COH even more clear. The following content should be added to the COH once the new version is released:

   The time limit for accepting complaints and protest should be interpreted as follows:

   Asking for assistance doesn’t stop the clock unless clearly stated so by a senior scoring official. Assistance is intended to get information from scorers about how a score was achieved. If the scorer doesn’t change the result before the end of the complaint period, the pilot must submit a complaint within the period.
It is up to the director to define if he accepts requests for assistance via non-personal contact such as telephone, email or text. Any text or email received from the competitor should be considered a request for assistance unless clearly stated as complaint or protest.

Outside the rest period and especially towards the end of deadlines the pilot must have the possibility to hand-in his complaint or protest within the timeline. This could be done by having an official in the competition center who has permission to receive the complaint or by other means. In case of use of electronical transmission such as email, the risk of emails not being received or being delayed must be considered. Also, a procedure to confirm the sender should be in place. Receiving, timestamping and signing the complaint or protest by an official doesn’t mean its acceptance but only means that it was handed in at a specific date and time. It is up to the director to define the acceptance.

It is the duty of the pilot to observe the results and to complain or protest within the timeline.

5. Competition Operations Handbook (COH)
   - Everybody agreed last year the COH needs a big update, getting out old and or duplicate information and some new in. Work on this has started and suggested changes by Marc Andre were discussed. Mostly things are being deleted because they are not needed anymore. Steve Ireland continues working on his part (officials). David Bareford worked on “what does an official do”. Starting from scratch.

   The chapters will be newly organized with the following responsible person to work on:
   i. Information for Organizers
      Information about Equipment, Infrastructure, and other useful information to organizers
      Responsible: David Bareford
   ii. Information for Officials
      Procedures for Target teams, Scoring, Debriefing, etc..
      Refer to Safety guide for safety related topics
      Responsible: Steve Ireland
   iii. Tips for Task Settings
      I have started this. We will certainly need input from event directors.
      Responsible for now: Marc André
   iv. Penalty Guide, Forms
      Responsible: Marc André
   - The next phase of update should be completed by end of August. At first the useful existing content of the COH should be copied and then new content added.
• Refer to the 2018 minutes for other topics which should be covered in the updated COH.

• While reviewing the penalty guide it was discussed that the AX WG should be asked to consider if rule 8.4.2 still applies. It is noted that the penalty guide to this rule is confusing and clarification is needed.

• Landing inside a Yellow PZ has now guidance. It was agreed that landing should be penalized with 250CP (half of a penalty of a red PZ) and take-off should result in NR in the first task (because it would probably give an competitive advantage and should be penalized similarly as moving the balloon outside the ILP for take-off).

6. Any new business.
   • The discussion on a new scoring formula must be delayed to 2020 (or maybe even 2021) because of time constraints.

7. 2019 SWG Chairman and members
   • Marc Andre, SUI, Co-Chairman
   • Erwin Pellegrin, NED, Co-Chairman
   • David Bareford, GBR, Member
   • Steve Ireland, AUS, Member
   • Aline Kalousdian, BRA, Member
   • Bengt Stener, SWE, Member

Motions
   - Approve the SWG minutes