F.A.I. International Gliding Commission (IGC)
Minutes of the Meeting
Olympic Museum, Lausanne, Switzerland
1-2 March 2002

[In order to manage the time of the meeting, the President shifted items in the agenda for discussion purposes. The Minutes are written in the original order of the agenda for the ease of the reader to follow the items and decisions.]

Agenda Item 1 – Opening

President Tor Johannessen called the meeting to order and requested the observance of a moment of silence in honor of friends and colleagues lost in the previous year. Mr. Johannessen noted the loss of Franciszek Kepka, three time Bronze Medal winner in World Championships, World Champion Gilbert Gerbaud and Per Weishaupt, a long-time CIVV Vice President. Mr. Johannessen welcomed FAI President Wolfgang Weinreich and FAI Secretary General Max Bishop in attendance at the meeting.

The Secretary General called the roll of the meeting. It was determined that 28 votes were present including proxy for Kenya to the United Kingdom and proxy for Australia to New Zealand. Thus 15 votes would be required for an absolute majority on any ballot and 19 for a 2/3rds majority. Delegates were reminded that all votes of the Plenum require an absolute majority for passage.

Note – at the beginning of the second session on 2 March the Secretary General again called the roll. For the second day of meetings the members and proxies present totaled 27 creating an absolute majority of 14 and 18 required for votes requiring a 2/3rds majority.

Regrets were received from Mr. Terry Cubley of Australia, Mr. Herbert Pirker from Austria, Mr. Carlo Lecuit from Luxembourg, Mr. Fred Weinholdt from Germany and Mr. John Roake of New Zealand.

Agenda Item 2- Minutes of the Last Meeting

Mr. Johannessen asked for comments or changes suggested to the Minutes from the previous meeting. He reported that Mr. Pettersson of Sweden had submitted a written comment concerning item 5.1 from the previous Minutes. Specifically, he suggested the following change:

- Pettersson said that the use of distance tasks only presented a problem and that speed tasks are also needed in these events. Pettersson said that the competitors at Lüsse were unhappy about the AAT task and blamed the rules in Annex A. But the rules for AAT in Annex A were not
used. The Organizers had asked IGC to let them use distance scoring instead of speed scoring for AAT.

Mr. Johannessen asked for approval of the Minutes as amended. The members accepted the Minutes as amended.

**Agenda Item 3 – FAI Matters**

FAI Secretary General Max Bishop reported on several items and issues concerning the FAI:
- FAI is currently operating with the new Executive Board in place. This smaller group of elected leaders is helping to streamline decision making and help the FAI staff better coordinate policy matters for the FAI.
- A next major effort of FAI leadership will involve creation of a new system of assessing FAI membership fees for member countries.
- Following development of the new assessment system the FAI will focus on development of a new system of resolving disputes with member groups.
- Finally, the Secretary General noted that the membership of the FAI is increasing.

**Agenda Item 4 – IGC Budget**

Mr. Bradley offered a brief report noting that the funds of the IGC have increased 10,944CHF in the past year. The current balance of IGC funds stands at 55,051CHF. The President noted that the IGC incurs no costs associated with the service of the Secretary because of support from the SSA and inexpensive air travel for himself because of concessions from his previous employer SAS.

The President encouraged delegates to remain conservative with regards to the funds as there may be needs in the future. He then asked Mr. Spreckley for comments concerning possible new sources of funds. Mr. Spreckley suggested that the new ranking list and further developments in the websites may offer possible future sources of revenue for IGC. He suggested the possibility of organizing a business committee of the IGC to be responsible for looking into new possibilities.

Mr. Johannessen asked for comments on the recommendation. Mr. de Orleans-Borbon suggested that an IGC business committee should be created to coordinate activities with the FAI to ensure no conflict of programs. The members present gave agreement to the suggestion for creation of the new committee.

Mr. Johannessen asked if the members accepted the report of the Treasurer and they agreed without objection.

**Agenda Item 5 – Short Reports from Past Championships**

5.1 1st World Women’s Gliding Championships, Lithuania 2001
- Mr. Sabeckis offered general remarks concerning the operation of the event.
- The President commented positively on the website operated by the organizers.

5.2 2nd World Junior Gliding Championships, Issoudun, France 2001
- Mr. Stuck gave a general report of activities associated with the contest. He stated his unhappiness with the failure of the dedicated website to provide contest information as planned.

5.3 27th World Gliding Championships, Mafikeng, South Africa 2001
- Mr. Bradley said that the decision by the organizers to seek additional assistance from outside the country was an important decision. He said that the help from individuals such as Mr. Spreckley was invaluable.
• Mr. Bradley said that the use of Assigned Area Tasks was a good process and worked well for the contest.
• He reported that the event made a modest financial profit for the organizers.
• Finally, Mr. Bradley said that the website reporting worked very well and that the site experienced a very high volume of activity.

5.4 World Air Games, Lillo, Spain 2001
• Mr. Casado reported on the event. He said that the contest took place without any accidents.
• He said that the event lost money but that it operated with a good spirit and atmosphere.
• Several delegates commented on the failure of the contest website to provide meaningful and timely information.

Agenda Item 6 – IGC Strategy

6.1 Internal Regulations
• Mr. Johannessen discussed the proposed voting procedures recommended by the Austrian delegation and the alternative recommendation from the Bureau.
• The President asked for support of the proposed Internal Regulations (copy attached to these Minutes). The members voted unanimously in favour of adoption.

6.2 IGC, Airspace and Regulatory Matters
• Mr. Johannessen asked the FAI President if he had comments to offer the group.
• Mr. Weinreich said that the biggest threat to sport aviation in Europe is the potential loss of access to airspace. He said that EGU is working hard on behalf of gliding before the European aviation authorities. Mr. Weinreich said that FAI continues to monitor political developments and will be supportive of sport aviation when threats exist.
• Mr. Peter Eriksen, the President of EGU, spoke to the members. He described the work of EGU as well as possible future developments including EGU assumption of duties currently performed by agencies.
• Mr. Eriksen said that there is a need for an airspace action plan at the European and International levels. He also said that he believes the time is coming when EGU will have to raise money to pay for formal lobbying services.
• Mr. Weinreich said that the FAI is very concerned that the authorities will begin to charge fees for access to airspace.
• Mr. Van Haaf discussed the Dutch proposals for greater IGC involvement in airspace matters. Mr. Johannessen noted the permanent IGC agenda item calling for a report from EGU and continued information exchange.

6.3 World Games
• Mr. Johannessen described the operation of the World Games which is different from the World Air Games. He said that the World Games are targeted at sports approved as Olympic sports but not yet accepted into the Olympic Games.
• Mr. Johannessen said that the next World Games will be in 2005 in Duisburg, Germany. He believes gliding should be a participant in the event. He said that early discussions have focused on the possibility of gliding aerobatics and perhaps a cross country event.
• The members gave unanimous support for continuing efforts to have gliding join the World Games in 2005. Mr. Roland Stuck was unanimously approved as the IGC liaison officer to the World Games.
6.4 Half-yearly Bureau Meetings

- Mr. Johannessen described the need to improve communications between meetings of the Plenum. He asked if the members would support the concept of an additional meeting of the Bureau mid-year between meetings of the Plenum.
- The members unanimously supported the concept and referred the matter to the incoming Bureau.

6.5 IGC Visions

- The President referred to the earlier discussion about changing from the terms “gliding” and “glider” to “soaring” and “sailplane”. After mentioning that there might also be negative reactions to this change and a short discussion, the President ascertained that he did not see overwhelming support for the change at this time.
- After discussion the President determined that there is no significant support for this proposal at the present time.

Agenda Item 7 – IGC Projects

7.1 IGC Website

- Mr. Ryder said that he will encourage championship organizers to use the IGC website for reporting of scores from the events.
- FAI Assistant Secretary General Thierry Montigneaux reported on development of the new .aero domain. He said that FAI will be contacting aero clubs concerning possible application of this name.

7.2 Tracker Project

- Mr. Ramseyer described the current status of equipment development. He said that the equipment is relatively limited in capability and that reporting live feeds on the Internet slows down server speed.
- Mr. Ramseyer suggests that the IGC not own and operate the equipment at this time. He said it should be the responsibility of the organizers for the present.

7.3 Hall of Honour

- Mr. Mozer clarified that the official name of the project is the International Gliding Hall of Honour. He reported that criteria for membership has not yet been developed. Mr. Mozer said that he continues to work on the development of appropriate protocols and that he will report to the members when the project is complete.

7.4 IGC Ranking System

- Mr. Spreckley reported that the system is ready to install on the IGC website. He said that it must be tested and confirmed before being made public. He expects the system to be ready by the end of April 2002.

7.5 Simulated Gliding

- Mr. Stuck said that there have been positive new developments in add-on software for terrain, airfields and gliders.

7.6 Membership Challenge

- Mr. Henderson reported on behalf of Mr. John Roake who could not attend the meeting.
- The special promotional video is doing very well. Mr. Henderson emphasized that the intended purpose of the tape is to provide it free immediately following a person’s first gliding flight. The tape is intended to keep the person interested in the sport. Total sales of the video since introduction are 29,200 units.
• Mr. Henderson said that a second video is in production now. The video is targeted at current power pilots to encourage them to try gliding.
• Several countries have not reported on their participation numbers. The President agreed to e-mail non-respondents to ask for their information.
• Mr. Johannessen asked for volunteers to assist Mr. Roake in his work. Mr. Ratajczak volunteered his assistance as did Mr. Karatsalos from Greece.

7.7 GFAC
• The GFAC Chairman asked to be informed in confidence of any suspected security breaches in the use of GNSS Flight Recorders. He also requested that any IGC files with unusual features should be sent to him for information and analysis.
• A change had been made in the FAI General Section paragraph 7.3.1.1 to add the WGS84 ellipsoid model. Each FAI airport is being asked to consider the use of the model. Therefore it had been proposed that the IGC world model for distance calculation purposes should be changed from the sphere to the WGS84 ellipsoid. The members unanimously approved the change effective October 1, 2002. It is noted that the change is not mandatory for contests.
• The GFAC Chairman stated that there was a very large gap between the existing two levels of "all flights" and "badges up to diamonds only". He had asked in the agenda for an intermediate level or levels of IGC- approval to be recognized. After discussion, IGC decided not to change the current two approval levels, but requested further detail for the next meeting.

Agenda Item 8 - World Air Games

8.1 Proposed New Rules
• Mr. Stuck reported on his sub-committee’s proposals for the future format of gliding events in the World Air Games. The proposals were positively received by the members.

8.2 Status Report
• Mr. Johannessen reported on discussion within FAI concerning future conduct of the World Air Games. He said that there is general agreement to scale down the size of the event and conduct at one compact venue.
• Mr. Weinreich emphasized the need for the event to be in one area so as to operate as a single event. He also suggested that future events will involve open bidding by cities for hosting the program not just aero clubs.
• Mr. Johannessen said that the event will be separate from world championship programs.
• Mr. Johannessen said that according to the FAI ideas for future WAGs, IGC should plan for a smaller-scale gliding event with not more than 25 participants. He asked if the members support the concept paper presented by Mr. Stuck but with the reduced level of participation. The members unanimously supported the proposed format.

Agenda Item 9 – OSTIV

• Mr. Boermans gave a general report of OSTIV activity. He said that 25 papers were presented at the Congress in Mafikeng. He expressed appreciation to Mr. Bradley and the organizers in South Africa.
• Mr. Boermans said that Mr. Cedric Vernon is retiring after 38 years as a member of the Sailplane Development Committee. Mr. Vernon is proposed to receive the Gehriger Diploma for his excellent, long-term service to the Sailplane Development Panel, where he served as Secretary of the Panel for over 30 years.
• Ultralight sailplanes have the ability to bridge the gap between hang gliders and gliders. Mr. Boermans announced that OSTIV has created a special Ultralight Sailplane sub-committee of the SDP with Mr. Piero Morelli as Chair.

9.1 Ultra Light Sailplanes

• Referring to a paper "Definition of Light and Ultralight Sailplanes: Background for the Use of OSTIV and FAI", circulated with the agenda before and during the meeting, Mr Morelli gave a general description of developments in the exploration of microlift as well as a description of the new ultra light sailplanes following the example of the remarkable Carbon Dragon. It was also pointed out that the actual Sporting Code definition of Ultra Light Glider does not reflect the capability of exploiting microlift, which requires, in addition to an upper limitation of the glider weight, also an upper limitation of the wing loading. It seems reasonable to split in two classes (Light gliders and Ultra Light Gliders) what is now mixed up in one single Ultra Light class. This would encourage the development of microlift exploitation.

• In the discussion which followed it was remarked that it may take many years before the sport can fully embrace microlift. The use of microlift requires dynamic soaring, which is very difficult, as well as special machines with very low sink rates and a small turning radius.

• The plenum gave unanimous support to the work of the sub-committee which has been assigned by the OSTIV Sailplane Development Panel the task of proposing definitions and setting airworthiness guidelines for these new types of sailplanes.

Agenda Item 10 – Sporting Code

10.1 Main Section

• The Austrian Aero Club has requested that IGC accept a proposal from the last IGC meeting concerning creation of a free triangle distance world record. The President said that the proposal had been defeated by vote at the previous meeting and that the Austrian proposal meant to reverse that vote. He ruled the proposal out of order as it was not a new proposal for this year’s meeting. In order to get the issue properly decided upon he asked the Sporting Code Specialist, Mr. Macintyre, to develop a correct proposal for the free triangle distance world record to be submitted for consideration at the next IGC meeting. Mr. Macintyre agreed to accept the assignment.

• Mr. Johannessen asked Mr. Macintyre to develop a specific proposal concerning a free triangle distance record. Mr. Macintyre agreed to work on the proposal and to submit it for consideration at the next IGC meeting.

10.2 Annex A

• Mr. Henderson reviewed the list of proposed changes to Annex A. The complete list of proposals and decisions taken is appended to these minutes.

10.2.1 Championship Trophies – no discussion

10.2.2 Jury Handbook – approved

10.2.3 Steward Handbook – approved

10.2.4 Reduction of Costs – Not approved
10.2.5 Limiting maximum take-off mass and other limits for IGC sanctioned competitions – approved as listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Maximum Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Class</td>
<td>750 kg, with the exception that two-seater motor gliders which exceed this limit with two crew members on board will be allowed to compete, but may not take disposable ballast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Meter Class</td>
<td>600 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Meter Class</td>
<td>525 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Class</td>
<td>525 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club Class</td>
<td>No disposable ballast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Members voted 19 in favour and 9 against for a proposal to approach the JAR-2 Study Group with a proposal to increase the Open Class mass to 850 kg.

10.3 Annex B
- Mr. Strachan asked for acceptance of the proposed changes to Annex B. Mr. Johannessen asked if there were any objections. With no objections he stated that the proposals are accepted.

10.4 Annex C
- Mr. Johannessen said that Annex C must be adjusted to align the rules with the principles set forth in Annex A. He said that this is editorial in nature and asked if the members would permit the Bureau to review and accept the changes. The members agreed unanimously to assign the Bureau this responsibility.

Agenda Item 11 – Flight Safety

11.1 Anti-Collision
- There were no questions or comments concerning the paper submitted by Mr. Pirker.

Agenda Item 12 – Future Championships

12.1 28th World Gliding Championships, Rieti, Italy 2003
- Mr. Cibic reported on difficulties with the organization of the championships. He stated that the current organization is facing problems to complete the plans.
- Mr. Johannessen stated that the IGC Bureau had reviewed the situation in extensive discussion. He said that the Bureau believes that a direct letter to the Italian Aero Club must be sent by IGC asking for information on critical organizing issues, and requiring action by the Italian organizers. It is necessary, the President said, for the IGC to put in place plans for a new host location and organizer for the championship in the probable event that issues are not resolved.
- Mr. Spreckley reviewed the outline of issues to be addressed in the proposed letter. Mr. Johannessen asked for a vote of the Plenum in support of the letter. The members voted 27 in favour of the letter with 1 abstention by Italy.
- Mr. Johannessen asked if the members supported the failed bid from the United States as the alternative to Rieti in the event that the contest could not be held in Italy. By a vote of 11 in favour and 16 against the members declined to identify the U.S.A. as the alternate venue.
- Mr. Johannessen said that the members must determine when an alternative event would be held. By a vote of 20 in favour and 7 abstentions the members voted for 2003 as the preferred year.
• The President then said that because of the short time remaining it would be necessary to empower the Bureau to decide if Rieti is to be the venue or if an alternative is to be used. The members voted 22 in favour and 5 against granting the Bureau the authority to approve or disapprove of Rieti as the venue and to identify an alternate site if necessary.

12.2(a) 2nd World Club Class Championships, Musbach, Germany 2002
• Mr. Reich gave a report of preparations for the contest. He said that the organizers must be strict on the entry date because of high participation in the event.
• Mr. Reich described the intent of the organizers to create an A and B finals. The members discussed the proposals and agreed that Mr. Bob Henderson would work with the organizers to establish agreement for the proposed new system. Members voted 23 in favour, 2 against and 2 abstentions for granting the Bureau authority to approve the final rules governing the split groups.
• Mr. Johannessen asked for approval of the proposed Jury members and Stewards. The members agreed unanimously to the proposed names.

12.2(b) European Championships, 2002
• Mr. Vach was approved as Chief Steward and Mr. Vala was approved as Steward.
• Mr. Morelli, Mr. Bachman and Mr. Szczupak were approved as Jury.
• Members were advised that testing for doping will occur at the contest.

12.2(c) World Class Championships, Matamata, New Zealand, 2003
• Mrs. Hartley was approved as Chief Steward and Ms. Sheard as Steward.
• Mr. Sharples was approved as Chair and Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Roake were approved as Jury members.

12.3 3rd World Club Class Championships, Proposal from Norway
• The members voted 24 in favour and 2 abstentions for approval of the bid from Norway.

12.4 12th European Gliding Championships, Lithuania 2004
• The members voted 19 in favour and 6 abstentions for the proposal from Lithuania to host the championship event. The proposal was approved.
• The members discussed proposals concerning limits on number entries. The contest will have a limit of 120 contestants. By a vote of 24 in favour the members approved a limit of 6 pilots per country (NAC) with 2 reserve pilots and a maximum of 2 pilots per class for an NAC.

Agenda Item 13 – Reports from Specialists

13.1 Bids for Future Championships
• Mr. Savolainen emphasized that it is very important for organizations submitting bids for future events to meet the deadlines set forth in the guidelines. He said that much work has to be done prior to final consideration of the proposals so it is absolutely necessary to have the information by the deadline.

13.2 Club Class
• Mr. Cubley was absent. There were no questions or comments.

13.3 FAI Environmental Commission
• Mr. Smith gave a general report of Commission activities. He asked the members to formally endorse the following resolution: “Having proposed the gliding portion of
the FAI Environmental Code of Conduct IGC formally endorses and adopts the FAI Code of Conduct as it applies to gliding and urges its member to take similar action.”

- The members voted 20 in favour, 2 against and 3 abstentions. The resolution proposed by Mr. Smith was accepted.

13.4 Awards – see agenda item 15.

13.5 GNSS – presented as part of the GFAC report

13.6 Motor Gliders

- There were no questions or comments for Mr. Morelli.

13.7 World Class

- Representatives from the Technical University of Warsaw as well as form the two manufacturers of the World Class glider, the PW-5, were present. They reported that progress has been made to remove the altitude limit on the glider, and their hope was that a permission from the Polish authorities to increase the limit to 9000 would be forthcoming before the end of March.
- Mr. Morelli asked for approval of the appointment of Francois Pin of the USA to replace Mr. David Habercom on the World Class sub-committee. Mr. Pin’s appointment was approved unanimously.
- The President expressed IGC’s appreciation for Mr. Habercom’s effective and important service on the committee.

13.8 Media Specialist

- Mr. Mozer asked members to arrange to send photos of all FAI class champions to the FAI in care of Assistant Secretary General Thierry Montigneaux or Mr. Peter Ryder. These pictures are to be used for the Champions Gallery that is being constructed on the IGC/FAI website.

Agenda Item 14 – Barron Hilton Soaring Cup

- Mr. Linke handed out a written report of activity for the Barron Hilton Soaring Cup. He called attention to the continued partnership of EADS in support of the program.

Agenda Item 15 – Awards

15.1 Lilienthal Medal

- Nomination by the SSA of Mr. Jim Payne. Mr. Mozer spoke in favour of the nomination. The award was approved by a unanimous vote of the members.

15.2 Pelagia Majewska Medal

- Nomination of Mrs. Joann Shaw of the U.S.A. and Mrs. Carol Clifford of South Africa. Mrs. Shaw’s nomination was withdrawn due to a ruling from the Chair that it had not been received within the timeframe required by FAI statutes. Mrs. Clifford’s nomination was approved by a unanimous vote of the members.

15.3 Pirat Gehriger Diploma

- Nomination of Cedric Vernon by OSTIV. The award was approved by unanimous vote of the members.
Agenda Item 16 – Online Contest

- The President reported on development of online contests in various countries around the world. He said an IGC online contest might be a useful tool for ranking of pilots and promotion of the sport.
- Mr. Hans Trautenberg, who is one of the developers of a private online contest in Germany supported by Aerokurier, made a presentation and answered questions.

Agenda Item 17 – Any Other Business (discussion only)

- The President proposed that IGC develop a handbook for organizers of championships. He said that Mr. Spreckley has volunteered to lead a working group. The members unanimously supported the initiative.

Agenda Item 18 – Election of Officers

- President – Mr. Johannessen was nominated without opposition and was elected.
- First Vice President – Mr. Spreckley and Mr. Henderson were nominated. Mr. Henderson was elected.
- Vice Presidents – Mr. Bradley, Mr. Cubley, Mr. Gai, Mr. Mozer, Mr. Pettersson, Mr. Ratajczak, Mr. Savolainen, Mr. Spreckley, Mr. Stuck and Mr. Vach were nominated. Mr. Mozer, Mr. Savolainen, Mr. Stuck, Mr. Ratajczak and Mr. Spreckley were elected.
- Secretary – Mr. Sanderson was nominated without opposition and was elected.

Agenda Item 19 – Date and Place of Next Meeting

- The Aero Club of the Czech republic proposed to host the next meeting of the IGC. The members voted in favour by a 2/3rds majority for the proposal to hold the meeting in Prague and determined the dates to be 28 February and 1 March, 2003 for the Plenum and 26-27 February, 2003 for the Bureau.

President’s Closing Remarks at end of plenary session

The President thanked the delegates for their continued trust and informed them that his intention is to stand for election as President again in 2003 and then to stand down from election in 2004.

Appointments

- Mr. Johannessen was appointed as IGC Representative to CASI
- Mr. Pedro Ortiz was appointed as IGC Representative to the FAI Medical Commission
- All IGC specialists were re-appointed with the exception of Francis van Haaf who renounced his membership in the Sporting Code sub-committee.
Internal Regulations for FAI’s Gliding Commission

Meetings of the International Gliding Commission shall be run in accordance with FAI’s Statutes and Bylaws. In addition the following requirements shall be met:

1. A roll call shall be taken at the beginning of each session of the meeting.
   
   1.1 Delegates leaving the meeting for extended periods or for good shall inform the Secretary about his/her absence.

   1.2 A roll call may also be taken if a majority of the delegates actually present so request.

2. Except as stated in 2.1, decisions shall be taken by an absolute majority, i.e. more than half of the votes belonging to members present or represented. The number of votes present will be taken to be that counted at the last roll-call, less the number of delegates who have subsequently announced formally to the IGC Secretary that they intend to absent themselves.

   2.1 A two-thirds majority is required for changes to the main body of the FAI Sporting Code, Section 3.
## ANNEX A COMMITTEE SUMMARY

**10th January 2002**

Register of Amendments to Annex A – Submitted to the IGC Bureau

### Editorial Amendments – Effective from 1 October 2002 – to be approved by the Bureau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref No:</th>
<th>Annex A Reference</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Bureau Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0201</td>
<td>Contents of Annex A</td>
<td>Revision of the contents of Annex A into 10 Parts with rules grouped to follow a more logical progression.</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0202</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Addition of statement of approval of Annex A by the IGC plenary and the combination of 3.1 and 3.1.1</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0203</td>
<td>3.2, 3.2.1, 3.3 &amp; 3.4</td>
<td>Paragraphs 3.2 and 3.2.1 are combined; and, paragraphs 3.3 and 3.4 are combined</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0204</td>
<td>4.3, 4.4 &amp; 4.5</td>
<td>Paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 are combined and reworded</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0205</td>
<td>5.1.1 &amp; 5.1.2</td>
<td>Paragraphs 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 are combined</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0206</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>The addition of text from FAI Sporting Code, General Section, Chap 4, to add heading and note that Stewards and Jury Members may not be involved operationally in the organisation</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0208</td>
<td>5.3.5</td>
<td>Paragraphs 5.3.5.1 and 5.3.5.2 are combined under 5.3.5</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0209</td>
<td>7.5 and 8.1.1</td>
<td>Paragraph 7.5 is moved and combined with 8.1.1</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0210 v2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Paragraph 9.1 is expanded to clarify the requirement that the competitors be drug free</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0211 v2</td>
<td>10.1, 10.3 &amp; 10.4</td>
<td>10.1.3 deleted; 10.1.1 and 10.1.2 renumbered; and, 10.3 and 10.4 are combined. MoP added to configuration in 10.3.1 as an option. Follow up action: To Review the use of the word “instruments” in (new) 10.3.2 to see whether it adequately covers Palm Pilots etc that can display an artificial horizon. Proposal required by 1 August 2002.</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0212 v2</td>
<td>14.1.1</td>
<td>Clarification of the status of penalties listed in Annex A and reference to the Sporting Code, General Section, 5.2 for guidance Follow up action: To Review whether “may” should be changed in the 1st sentence to make the imposition of penalties mandatory. To Review the Penalty List to ensure compliance with the SC-GS 5.2 and to also review airspace penalties. Proposal required by 1 August 2002.</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0213 v2</td>
<td>14.4.4</td>
<td>Deletion of paragraph 14.4.4</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0214</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>Addition of requirement to state units of measurement and times at briefing</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0215 v2</td>
<td>19.7 &amp; 19.8</td>
<td>Moving rules regarding task safety to the safety section and clarifying the definition of the minimum leg length</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0216 v2</td>
<td>16.8, 20.2.3, &amp; 20.2.7</td>
<td>Editorial correction to 16.8 and the addition of definitions for release area and contest site Follow up action: Add the requirement for the Contest Site Boundaries to be specified in the Local Procedures.</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0217 v3</td>
<td>20.4.9 &amp; 20.4.10</td>
<td>Additional text added to 20.4.9 to prevent the inadvertent crossing of the start line from invalidating a previously valid start and editorial change to 20.4.10 Follow up action: Replace “nominated” with “communicated” in 20.4.9</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0218 v2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>The addition of a requirement to avoid placing Turn Points</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and Assigned Areas close to Start Points and to avoid creating overlapping Assigned Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref No:</th>
<th>Annex A Reference</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Plenary Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0219 v3</td>
<td>20.5.4</td>
<td>The definition of an Assigned Area (moved from 19.4.2) plus the addition of an area being defined by GNSS co-ordinates Follow up action: The details combined in this proposal are to be retained in the appropriate parts of the Annex (eg Start verification in the Starting section)</td>
<td>This Proposal was deleted by the Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0220 v2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>The revision of this section to provide clarity to the finish requirements Follow up action: Add – for both the Line and Ring – the requirement that a valid finish is below the maximum altitude and above the minimum height or altitude (as appropriate) Follow up action: Add a comment to 20.7.1.4 that the manual timing is to enable preliminary scoring. Note, this makes this now a recommended action not a rule.</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0222</td>
<td>22.1.7 &amp; 22.2.8</td>
<td>Change of name for the list of penalties</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0246</td>
<td>20.3.6</td>
<td>The addition of requirements for providing a FR baseline for gliders with sustainer engines Follow up action: Plenary asked to determine the time requirement for engine runs. Plenary confirmed that the changes should be: engine runs to read “run for a maximum of two minutes” and – for the airborne case – “or as soon as possible after release”</td>
<td>Submit change to Plenary. Accepted by Plenary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Substantial Amendments – Effective from 1 October 2002 – to be approved by the Plenary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref No:</th>
<th>Annex A Reference</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Plenary Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0207 v2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Revision of the mechanism for the appointment of Members of the International Jury Option 1 Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0221 v2</td>
<td>21.2.1</td>
<td>The application of handicapping and World Class requirements to the minimum marking distance Approved with 60km marking distance deleted</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0223</td>
<td>4.2 and 4.6</td>
<td>Deletion of paragraphs 4.2 and 4.6 Approved with 4.6 modified to retain the first sentence and to “require the information to be distributed freely”</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0224</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Consolidation of requirements relating to Stewards Approved with addition of “a Chief Steward appointed one year prior plus at least one other” and to require at least one steward in place at the start of official practice</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0225 v2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>The review of the options relating to the imposition of limits on the maximum take-off weight Selected Options were: Open Class - 1a(ii) “Bayreuth rule” plus OSTIV SDP to review the weight limits on Open Class aircraft. 18M Class – 600kg 15M and Std Class – 525 kg Club Class – no disposable ballast, a 5 kg weight range and either handicap or points penalties for weight errors. World Class – procedures and practices as per Piero’s</td>
<td>Approved as noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0226 v2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>The revision of the existing section on Tasks to follow-up on IGC plenary requirements from 2001 and to provide clarity [see also 0244]</td>
<td>Withdrawn by Bureau - see 0244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0227 v2</td>
<td>20.3 &amp; 20.3.2</td>
<td>Provision of rule to cover the failure of both FRs and change to the GNSS approval date Approved with the GNSS approval time retained at 2 months and with the “… provided that the glider continued flight and did not, during …” in 20.3.8</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0228 v2</td>
<td>20.4.1 &amp; 20.4.4</td>
<td>The revision of rules regarding Start Procedures to provide clarity Approved with 20.4.1 amended to say “select no more than two start procedures for use during the competition” and 20.4.4 amended as follows “A maximum altitude (QNH) shall be imposed …” and the deletion of the 2nd sentence</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0229</td>
<td>20.4.6</td>
<td>Clarifying the use of the event marker and the 15-minute rule</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0230 v2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>The revision of this section to incorporate the full use of GNSS position and time for determining the final position of the glider and definition of the contest area (transferred from 21.3) Approved with 20.6.1.1.2 amended to read “… is regarded as a real outlanding.”</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0231</td>
<td>22.1.7 &amp; 22.2.8</td>
<td>Alignment of penalties for both starting and flying above the altitude limit</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0232 v2</td>
<td>21 &amp; 22</td>
<td>Revision of scoring rules and algorithms as proposed to the Plenary 2001 [see also 0245]</td>
<td>Withdrawn by Bureau - see 0245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0233 v2</td>
<td>21 &amp; 22</td>
<td>The inclusion of a “joker” rule for scoring Details may be reviewed and proposed rule placed on the website for use by Organisers at competitions</td>
<td>Not approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0234</td>
<td>All of Annex A</td>
<td>To align Annex A terminology with FAI documents and the application of ‘English’ spelling conventions to the Annex Changes to English spelling will be incorporated in the revised Annex A edition. Postponement of intention to change “sailplane” to “glider” etc. pending debate on terminology</td>
<td>Postponed by Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0235</td>
<td>Layout of Annex A</td>
<td>Revision of the layout of Annex A to align to the numbering layout of the Sporting Code, and separate the text into “Rules” and “explanatory text”</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0244</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>An alternative amendment to 0226 v2 to simplify the Tasks being offered and the requirements of each Task Proposal - simplified tasks - Speed, Racing, Distance – as proposed. Details to be provided to Bureau by 1 August 2002 for 1 Oct 02 effective date</td>
<td>Plenary accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0245</td>
<td>21 &amp; 22</td>
<td>An alternative amendment for Scoring to 0332 v2 to support the Tasks proposed in amendment 0244 Proposal - 1000 pt scoring with no speed points for non-finishers for Speed &amp; Racing tasks. For Distance tasks - scoring to be as simple as possible; plenary split equally on whether or not to apply a penalty or a bonus to a land-out; plenary in majority favour of using either a minimum</td>
<td>Plenary accept</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
altitude or, altitude remaining at time-out, to determine distance achieved. Details required to be provided to Bureau by 1 August 2002 for 1 Oct 02 effective date

Substantial Amendments – Effective 1 October 2003 – to be approved by the Plenary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref No:</th>
<th>Annex A Reference</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Plenary Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0236</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Addition to existing text in Rule 3.3 to establish a minimum length for an IGC sanctioned competition. This applied to the WAG and a decision was taken that these competitions would not be World Championships in future, but would follow a different format.</td>
<td>Withdrawn by Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0237</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Addition of requirement for Organisers to provide competent PR facilities in accordance with the Sporting Code requirements. This requirement is to go in the Organiser’s Handbook.</td>
<td>Withdrawn by Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0238</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Consolidation of requirements relating to the International Jury.</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0239 v2</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>Starting Options. Supported – Regatta start and Inverted Race Against Time – more detailed rules to be drawn up and placed on the website for organisers to try at competitions. Not supported – Race Against Time and Imposed Time Slots.</td>
<td>Decisions as noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0240 v2</td>
<td>6, 7, &amp; 20</td>
<td>To confirm the status of Champions as individuals or “teams” and reduce the level of gagging.</td>
<td>Passed to Working Group for report in 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non Annex A Proposals – to be approved by the Plenary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref No:</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Bureau Opinion</th>
<th>Plenary Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0241</td>
<td>1 Apr 02</td>
<td>The acceptance of the IGC Steward Handbook, draft version 4 Approved with the addition of the Chief Steward; the requirement that a Steward be on site for practice week and the inclusion of the IGC delegates as recipients of the Steward Report.</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0242</td>
<td>1 Apr 02</td>
<td>The review of the use of IGC sanction fees to assist Organisers fund the International Jury.</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>