IGC Survey

March 2007
This questionnaire was handed out during the IGC plenum in Lausanne in March 2007. (10 persons had already responded by correspondence before the meeting)

The Questionnaire was divided into the following sections:

• Subjects treated at the IGC meeting
• The structure of the IGC meeting
• The meeting facilities and venue
• The organisation of the IGC meeting
• The general organisation of IGC’s work
Fédération Aéronautique Internationale

50 persons returned the questionnaire, distributed as follows:

- 28 IGC delegates
- 13 Alternate delegates
- 4 Gliding federations presidents
- 5 Other meeting participants, such as committee chairman or experts
The IGC meeting

Subjects treated at the meeting
I find that the subjects discussed at the meeting are the right ones.
We should spend less time on technical details, leave that to the Technical Committees, and more time on strategic issues.
We try to do too much in too little time, either we should reduce the number of subjects, or reduce the number of items on the agenda.

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Comments:
- Discussion are not well enough prepared. More time for structured debate.
- Some technical detail is required to brief delegates properly.
- Too much on Annex A, too little on fundamental gliding issues
Fédération Aéronautique Internationale

The IGC meeting - Subjects treated at the meeting

Conclusions and actions: TBD by the Bureau
The IGC meeting

The structure of the IGC meeting
Comments:

- 2,5 days would be better
The IGC meeting is too short, we need more time

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Having the meeting in February/March is ideal for me
One IGC meeting per year is sufficient

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Overall, I am satisfied with the structure of the IGC meeting

Comments:
- A strategic issue should be discussed every year. This subject should be prepared, and experts should be ask to present e.g. their national initiatives or ideas.
- The plenary is OK, but we need to find a way to be more active between the meetings. We can’t manage worldwide gliding through 1 meeting per year.
- The meeting should be middle of March
The IGC meeting – The Structure of the meeting

Conclusions and actions: TBD by the IGC Bureau
The IGC meeting

Meeting facilities and venue
Lausanne is a practical place for me to have the meeting
The facilities at the Olympic Museum are well suited for the meeting.
I would prefer to have the meeting in a different place each year

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
The hotels in Lausanne are suitable, and the price is acceptable.
In general, I am satisfied with the meeting facilities

Comments: - To go to a different place every 3-4 years would be fine
- Meeting facilities are fine, but Lausanne is an expensive venue
- We should encourage other countries to host the meeting
The IGC meeting – The meeting facilities and venue

Conclusions and actions: TBD by the IGC Bureau
The IGC meeting

The organisation and conduct of the meeting
The Information (agenda, proposals etc) was provided in due time before the meeting

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
The information provided before the meeting was clear and easy to understand

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
The meeting was well managed by the chairman and the secretary

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
There was enough time for discussions during the meeting

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
The use of Power Point presentations makes it easier to follow the meeting.

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
I sometimes have difficulties understanding what is discussed during the meeting

Comments:

- Native English speakers should speak slower
I would like to have simultaneous translation during the meeting

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Comments:

- More time for discussions, and they need to be better prepared.
- There is not enough time to discuss at a national level from January to March.
- The IGC-debate channel should be better managed and structured to address specific items, why not crate a forum for delegates.
The IGC meeting – The organisation of the meeting

Conclusions and actions: TBD by the IGC Bureau
The general organisation of IGC
I find that we get enough information from IGC via the Newsletters, meeting minutes and access to the web.
Minutes and information from IGC are of high quality

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Decisions and Minutes are made available soon after the meeting

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
The use of the FAI/IGC web should be expanded to create more interaction between the meetings.
The President's newsletters provide good information and are useful

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Indifferent
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Comments:
- Suggest to have a similar survey on the web to have the opinion of people not directly involved in IGC work.
- We should make better use of the web by providing more information to the web master.
- Sometimes the information flow is fine, at other times it does not work, like ATMOS
The general organisation of IGC’s work

Conclusions and actions: TBD by the IGC Bureau