FEDERATION AERONAUTIQUE INTERNATIONALE

FAI MICROLIGHT COMMISSION (CIMA)

Minutes of the plenary meeting held at the Olympic Museum in Lausanne, Switzerland on 14 &15 November 2003

Present:

In the Chair: Mr. Tormod VEIBY, President of CIMA (NORWAY)

BELGIUM  Mr. Rene VERSCHUEREN, Alternate Delegate

CHINESE TAIPEI  Mr. Allen KAO, Delegate
                Mr. Joseph LIEN, Alternate

CZECH REPUBLIC  Mr. Jan BEM, Delegate

FRANCE  Mr. Joel AMIABLE, Alternate Delegate

GERMANY  Mr. Wolfgang LINTL, Delegate

HUNGARY  Mr. Marton ORDODY, Delegate

ITALY  Mr. Erich KUSTATSCHER, Delegate
        Mr. Dino ROBERTO, Observer

JAPAN  Mr. Etsushi MATSUO, Delegate

LUXEMBURG  Mr. Gerhart GERECHT, Delegate

NETHERLANDS  Mr. Jan van der HEIJDEN, Delegate

NORWAY  Mr. Tormod VEIBY, Delegate & President

PORTUGAL  Mr. Carlos TRIGO, Delegate & 2nd Vice President

SOUTH AFRICA  Mr. Chris van EEDEN, Alternate Delegate
               Mr. Brian YOUNG, Observer

SWEDEN  Mr. Tomas BACKMAN, Delegate & 1st Vice President

SPAIN  Mr. Antonio MARCHESI, Delegate
        Mr. Jose Luis ESTEBAN, Alternate Delegate

SWITZERLAND  Mr. Patrick WATERMANN, Delegate
              Mr. Hans FRITSCHÉ, Observer

TURKEY  Mr. Ali YUCEL, Delegate
INTRODUCTORY REMARKS
Mr. Veiby welcomed delegates and Mr. Bishop to the meeting. He then made some remarks about Ann Welch who died last December. He noted that she was a special person to those who knew her and it was a pleasure working with her. A loving remembrance ceremony was held in Great Briton in April where 280 people attended the “Celebration of the Life of Ann Welch.” Ann started flying in 1936 and was a notable figure in powered and gliding flight. She ferried combat aircraft during War World II and later became a central leader in competitive gliding after the war serving FAI and the FAI gliding commission. She was FAI’s magazine editor for a number of years and held positions in the gliding commission including IGC section editor.

Ann was influential in the establishment of the FAI hang gliding commission. She wrote the hang gliding section of the FAI sporting code and organized numerous hang gliding contests. When microlighting developed to the international level, Ann led its introduction to FAI for which we are eternally grateful. Ann was the first CIMA president and wrote Section 10. We know Ann from CIMA championships as an inspiration and strict master of the sport. She will be remembered for her becoming smile and enthusiasm for the sport. Mr. Veiby asked for a minute of silence to pay tribute to her memory.

ITEM 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
Apologies for absence were received from the following countries:

BELGIUM, POLAND

The United Kingdom held a proxy for the United States.

ITEM1a. DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Mr. Bishop outlined the FAI policy for Conflicts of Interest stating that these standards of behavior applied to anyone with responsibility in FAI activities. Examples of potential conflicts of interest included a business arrangement or any personal interest that may effect a decision. Those in a position of possible conflict of interest were now required to so state to FAI (publicly or privately).

Mr. Veiby asked those present for any conflicts of interest. Mr. Negal stated his current position as chairman of Pegasus/Mainair would cease at yearend and therefore eliminate any possible conflict of interest there.

ITEM 2. DELEGATE INTRODUCTIONS AND REPORTS
Delegations introduced themselves and gave a short report of activity in their respective countries (reports attached).
ITEM 3. MINUTES OF THE LAST CIMA MEETING
The minutes of the 2002 plenary meeting were unanimously accepted with no changes.

ITEM 4. REPORT FROM THE FAI SECRETARY GENERAL
Mr. Max Bishop, FAI Secretary General, gave the following report:

A. FAI IS A LEGAL ENTITY. FAI is the legal umbrella for air sports. Some commissions have recent external oral and written agreements that have created problems for FAI when they did not realize the legal consequences. It is important that CIMA understands any agreement it makes is on behalf of FAI.

B. FAI VISUAL IMAGE. FAI will soon update its visual image including the FAI logo to make it more attractive and exploitable. Mr. Bishop will send the proposed image to any delegate wishing to comment on the new design before it is finalized.

C. FAI SUBSCRIPTIONS. Ninety percent of FAI income has been derived from member subscriptions and the income level has remained constant since 1993. In response to FAI members wanting a fairer system to determine subscription, FAI plans to implement a new subscription system in 2005 based on the number of a country’s air sports persons. The system would group countries in families based on air sports population size. This would also determine FAI voting privileges.

D. WORLD AIR GAMES BIDS. By the end of November 2003, FAI was expected to choose either Poland or Malaysia to host the next world air games.

E. ANTI DOPING. The FAI Sporting Code General Section now includes rules for anyone participating in FAI-sanctioned international competition. Using a banned substance is cheating. Use of performance enhancing drugs can damage health and is a detriment to the sport. Any competitor could be tested for banned substances. Mr. Bishop asked delegates to please make teams aware of the banned substances which can be can be found on the FAI website. A world code has been developed by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) and accepted by many governments. The cost per test is 3-400 EUR. FAI was trying to prevent out-of-contest testing for CIMA. A therapeutic exemption was available for those whose doctor has prescribed them a banned substance for medical reasons.

F. AIRSPACE. The new European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) will centralize European airworthiness and licensing. Europe Air Sports has an agreement with EASA for regulatory matters to minimize upcoming single sky policy for air sports.

G. ICAO: An ICAO licensing panel has been developing recommendations for amending current flight crew licensing requirements in ICAO regulations including possible inclusion of microlights. At the FAI General Conference in Krakow, an FAI representative was assigned to participate in the ICAO meetings on behalf of air sports. At that time, Mr. Veiby, on behalf of CIMA, voiced strong opinion that inclusion of microlights should be opposed. When the representative suggested there may be some advantages such a border crossing, Mr. Veiby stated that the lack of ICAO certification and governance had been the overwhelming success factor why microlights today were the most dynamic and growing aspect of sports aviation, and that hordes of microlights where successfully flying criss-cross Europe every weekend. He then demanded an unreserved commitment that any such moves in ICAO would be argued against with all the might that FAI could muster. The representative promised this in front of the GC assembly. There was no opposition to Mr. Veiby’s argumentation from the GC delegates.

Mr. Bishop introduced FAI President Weinreich who offered some personal background and then some general comments with emphasis on the world air games. He requested that CIMA name a specialist to assist FAI with world air games development.

Mr. Bishop then introduced Mr. Jean-Marc Badan, FAI Promotional Affairs, who discussed his role at FAI and ways CIMA could help promote microlight activities. Mr. Badan is in charge of internal and external FAI communications and media contacts. He said FAI has a strategy for promoting itself, developing brands and its visual image and increasing air sports coverage to the media.
Mr. Badan handed out a summary of centennial projects that FAI is preparing including a book on the history of air sports and FAI as well as events planned for Paris and Lausanne in 2005. FAI was encouraging all commissions to develop an event to commemorate its 100th anniversary. Examples included 100 balloons crossing the English Channel and a helicopter flight from Paris to Lausanne. CIMA was encouraged to develop a notable microlight flying event.

Mr. Badan wanted to increase the distribution of FAI news and asked CIMA to provide him with microlight media contacts and event organizers for that purpose. He also asked for a simple description of the air sport such as types of aircraft, events, competitive tasks in contests, etc. for the general public.

ITEM 5. REPORT OF THE CIMA PRESIDENT
President Veiby reported on the commission’s activity throughout the year as presented to the FAI General Conference the month before (report attached). He noted that for the first time, all seven microlight classes participated in a CIMA sanctioned world championships. The use of flight recorders provided excellent results and new opportunities. Keeping all classes together in a championships remained a challenge. CIMA was working toward continental championships outside Europe with possibilities in Asia and North America and development of tasks for the 3rd WAG. FAI was to be commended for its high level of intra communication and excellent service.

ITEM 6. FAI SPORTING CODE SECTION 10
Mr. Backman, Section 10 Editor, presented proposals as reflected in the Draft 2003 Edition posted on the CIMA website, to amend Section 10 with the following results:

TEAM PRIZE – Merits of the three proposals were discussed with a decision to make no changes for 2004. FAI is now producing small medals that may be given to each person on a winning team at a championships prize giving ceremony. The CIMA bureau was given the authority to decide whether or not small medals would be included in future microlight championships.

Chapter 1-3 No changes
Chapter 4
4.29.1 – Change score sheets to Final and Final after upheld protest. Rejected: 2 For, 7 Against, 7 Abstained. Mr. Bishop recommended CIMA to tell the next championships organizer to not use “official” in score sheets.

Chapter 5
5.4.1 – No vote, editorial change.
5.4.5 – Emergency parachutes weigh nothing. Unanimously approved at last CIMA meeting.

Annex 1
Headline – Add conformity requirement statement. Unanimously approved.
1.2 – Add correction calculation formula. Unanimously approved.
1.3.2 – Delete section. Approved: 10 For, 7 Against, 1 Abstain.
2 – Deletion of 1.3.2 means 2.1 and 2.2 were also deleted.
Declaration sheet – Change from All Up Weight to MTOW. Unanimously approved.

SEPARATE ANNEX 3 AND 4 FROM SECTION 10. Unanimously approved.

Annex 3
First page—Add the word “Master” to Local Regulations title. Unanimously approved.
1.8 – Add PL1, PL2, PF1. Unanimously approved.
1.11.9 – Add SECURE AREA section. Unanimously approved.
1.11.10 – Add QUARANTINE section. Unanimously approved. “In quarantine” deleted from fourth line.
2.2.1 – Running engine until it stops. Rejected: 6 For, 4 Against, 8 Abstain.
2.2.3 – Add KNOWN GATES section. Unanimously approved. Change “must” to “may” in second and third lines. Add “height” after 1000 feet.
2.3.1 – Move to Annex 4. Unanimously approved.
2.3.5 – No change as team scoring did not change.
2.4 – Add GENERIC TASKS section. Unanimously approved.

Annex 4 No changes.
Annex 5
4.5 – Delete sentence regarding aerotowing. Unanimously approved.

Annex 6
4.2 – Tamper proof seal. Unanimously approved.
4.4 – Add "at least serial number." Unanimously approved.
4.6 – Can't alter firmware. Unanimously approved.
4.9.3.3 – Change "must" to "should." Unanimously approved.
4.9.3.4 – Change "must" to "should." Unanimously approved.
4.9.4.2 - Change "must" to "should." Unanimously approved.
5.6 – Change "mandatory" to "optional." Unanimously approved.
5.6.1, 5.6.2 – No longer needed, deleted.
5.8 – Change "must" to "should." Unanimously approved. Add X to chart.
5.8.1 – Delete. Unanimously approved. 5.8.2 changed to 5.8.1.
6.4 – Delete COPYRIGHT section and 6.4.1. Unanimously approved. COPYRIGHT section to be inserted in Local Regulations.
7.3 – Delete flight recorder copyright ownership. Unanimously approved. Insert in Local Regulations.

ITEM 7. COLIBRI BADGE REQUIREMENTS FOR PPG/PHG
Mr. Matsuo presented a proposal to shorten the badge distance requirements for PF1, PF2, PL1 and PL2 classes in consideration of their lower performance compared to the classic classes. Mr. Matsuo stated the desire to promote CIMA-related activities in these classes and this change would help. After further discussion it was agreed that the issue deserved further study. Mr. Bishop suggested studying other FAI commission flight proficiency awards.

PROPOSAL: Mr. Matsuo proposed to shorten the Colibri badge distances for paraglider classes to 50 km, 100 km and 650 km for the bronze, silver and gold badges, respectively.
ACTION: REJECTED: 5 For, 10 Against, 3 Abstain.

PROPOSAL: A proposal was made to establish a working group to assess the Colibri badge system and propose changes for the next CIMA plenary meeting.
ACTION: APPROVED: 14 For, 2 Against, 2 Abstain. Working group members will include Mr. Gunnarson (Chair), Mr. Meredith-Hardy, Mr. van Eeden, Mr. Matsuo and Mr. Meredith-Hardy. The working will coordinate any proposal with the Section 10 editor.

ITEM 8. WORLD MICROLIGHT RANKING SYSTEM
Mr. Gunnarson offered a brief summary of developments over the past year and introduced Mr. Meredith-Hardy who gave a presentation on the COMPS ranking system and how a CIMA ranking system could be implemented. The following actions were proposed by the WMRS working group:

PROPOSAL: Accept the COMPS ranking system in principle.
ACTION: APPROVED: 15 For, 0 Against, 3 Abstain.

PROPOSAL: Use the COMPS method of calculation for a CIMA ranking system.
ACTION: APPROVED unanimously.

PROPOSAL: The current CIMA ranking is published on the CIMA part of the FAI website.
ACTION: APPROVED unanimously.
PROPOSAL: A system of centralized "CIMA Ranking ID's" is established.
ACTION: REJECTED: 0 For, 9 Against, 5 Abstain. Must include Sporting License.

PROPOSAL: There will be a 'ranking championship sanction fee'.
ACTION: APPROVED unanimously. The new ranking system committee will propose a fee amount for bureau approval.

PROPOSAL: A calendar of forthcoming ranking events is posted on the CIMA FAI website.
ACTION: APPROVED unanimously.

PROPOSAL: A ‘contractor’ is hired by FAI / CIMA to provide current CIMA ranking.
ACTION: The new ranking system committee will make a proposal for bureau approval.

PROPOSAL: It was proposed to disband the existing working group and establish a new standing committee to oversee the operation and implementation of the ranking system.
ACTION: APPROVED unanimously. Committee members are Mr. Gunnarson (Chair), Mr. Meredith-Hardy, Mr. Esteban and Mr. Montigneaux (Advisor). The committee is authorized to make proposals directly to the bureau for approval, and, with approval, implement the system.

A major reason why all the proposals weren't agreed was because they were not all entirely compatible with FAI policy and procedures. The new committee will continue on this work as the principle was agreed leaving just the implementation to be dealt with. It was agreed that once the committee reaches a conclusion that is consistent with FAI practice, it may be approved by the CIMA bureau before the next meeting. There is therefore the possibility a FAI ranking system for microlights could be in place early in 2004.

ITEM 9. 2003 WORLD CHAMPIONSHIPS
Mr. Negal reported there were 191 competitors from 19 countries. There were 51 PPGs and 10 solo three axis, both increased from the previous championships. Use of flight recorders was a step forward and there were numerous letters received praising the organizers for doing a good job. A report on the championships had been published on the FAI website and scores were posted on the championships site. Mr. Negal offered the following comments on problems running the championships in hopes that future organizers could learn from them:

A. Safety: A trike that got loose with its engine running at full power in camp could have been a tragedy. Aircraft should not be allowed to run up in camp.
B. Complaints: 161 local inhabitants complained about the championships, 137 of those were directed at PPGs. There were five threats of legal action. Long Marston was unsuitable for PPGs as the low flying disrupted so many residents. PPG competition may not be accepted anywhere in the UK for that reason.
C. Medals: Due to an error in matching the number of classes with medals, there were not enough medals at the time of prize giving.
D. National anthems and flags: Not all countries sent flags as requested. One audiotape had the wrong anthem. One country needed three flags (1st, 2nd and 3rd place in same class).
E. Entries: There was a discount on entry fees to encourage early entry. Expenses were budgeted on the number who said they were coming. Then not everyone pre-registered showed up yet higher expenses were already committed.
F. Registration site: COMPS worked great but not everyone who registered showed up. A place to submit airworthiness documentation was not in the system. Classic classes web access to the COMPS system was really slow. Paraglider classes had a satellite link for higher speed access.
G. Scoring: Classic and Paraglider classes were scored separately. Due to lack of experience, scoring, complaints and logistics got out of hand in Classic classes.
H. Team leader: The UK team had no team leader so there was no filtering of complaints. As a result, 58% of all complaints came from the UK team.
I. Risk Analysis: The local council wanted a risk analysis for the public use areas. This was very time consuming for the organizer.

J. Airworthiness: With no guest entry, like in France, the organizer had to submit to the CAA every competing aircraft’s airworthiness documentation to get a Permit To Fly good for 28 days. A decision was made to send all the paperwork at once yet it was several days into the event before all the documentation was ready. The Russian team provided an excellent document from their government indicating that their aircraft had all been inspected and had valid airworthiness through the championships. No permit to fly was received for PPGs. Visitors who brought aircraft to tour the area during the championships were difficult to control. One team wreaked havoc while flying low and landing at airports without proper authorization.

Mr. Backman presented the jury president’s report (attached). Two major issues were the poor appearance of Long Marston when entering the grounds and the disappointing separation of Classic and Paraglider classes. In general, the jury was very pleased with the organization and running of the championships.

ITEM 10. BIDS FOR 2004 CONTINENTAL CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mr. Trigo presented the Portuguese bid including the following information:

- Location: Castelo Branco, Portugal.
- Entry fees: 400 Euros for pilots and navigators, 250 Euros for team leaders.
- Website: www.emc2004.net
- Paraglider classes would either be on the same field as classic classes or two km away.
- EMC 2004 would be an Open Championships.

PROPOSAL: It was proposed that the CIMA bureau be given authority to approve the Local Regulations and Organizer Agreement by 1 January 2004.

ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously.

PROPOSAL: It was proposed that the International Jury consist of Mr. Backman (President), Mr. Bem and Mr. Gunnarson. Stewards proposed were Mr. Lintl, Mr. Negal and Mr. Esteban.

ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously.

PROPOSAL: It was proposed that Mr. Esteban be appointed 2004 European Championships Monitor.

ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously. Mr. Esteban will contact the organizers to arrange details of any monitoring activity as recommended in S10 4.4.1.

Mr. Veiby, Mr. Trigo, Mr. Bishop and Mr. Weinreich signed the Organizer Agreement. Mr. Trigo said he would take the agreement with him and secure a signature from the Portuguese National Aero Club.

ITEM 11. BIDS FOR 2005 WORLD CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mr. Amiable presented the French bid to host the 2005 world championships in Levroux, France, site of the 2000 European championships. Proposed dates were 12-20 August 2005. Information on the bid would be available at www.ulmfrance.fr

PROPOSAL: Mr. Veiby proposed for CIMA to tentatively approve France as 2005 WMC host pending approval of the Local Regulations.

ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously.

ITEM 12. 2005 WORLD AIR GAMES

Mr. Meredith-Hardy reported that he had received little information recently so he had little to add at this time. He said the Malaysian bid appeared to be along the lines of the FAI ideal with a central stadium and time slots. An advantage to this bid was the offer to pay for competitor transportation expenses. The Polish bid appeared to offer a more traditional championships format. Mr. Meredith-Hardy expressed concern that
competitors from countries further away from Poland might not attend as they may have already committed their financial resources for the 2005 World Microlight Championships. Tentative dates for the games in Poland and Malaysia were 27 July - 5 August and 14-20 August, respectively.

Mr. Weinreich offered some additional information concerning progress on the World Air Games. He expressed concern that several issues remained unresolved in the bidding process. The end result could be a delay of the games for several years.

ITEM 13. COLIBRI DIPLOMA AWARD AND DIAMOND COLIBRI BIDS
Mr. Robert Mair of Germany was awarded the Colibri Diploma for his work to bring in a diesel engine in microlight flying.

Mr. Csongor LATKY and Mr. Andor KÁNTÁS of Hungary were awarded Diamond Colibri badges for their flight in an Apollo Fox AL2 ultralight, from Keszthely, Hungary (on the bank of Lake Balaton) to Sydney, Australia which is a bit over 22,000 km. Information on their flight, and the cause it promoted, could be found at www.fly4rett.fw.hu.

ITEM 14. INTERNATIONAL MICROLIGHT DATABASE
Mr. Gunnarson described the scope, value and history of the database project. He said the database would be transferred to the CIMA website along with the survey forms. He also noted the number of CIMA delegate's reports that included news of current regulatory actions that will affect microlighting in a number of countries. He stated one of the goals of the database was to provide delegates with a tool to show aviation authorities the size of the industry and the sport’s safety record.

Mr. Negal reported on the Europe Air Sports Microlight Working Group meeting held 27 September in Mallorca. Topics included changes in the European regulatory environment, insurance, PPGs, flying abroad, safety, formation of the European Microlight Federation, disabled microlight pilots and aircraft MTOW.

Mr. Negal announced the formation of the European Microlight Federation. Its purpose was to promote and protect microlighting (using the EASA Definition of microlight) in Europe and to actively participate in the formulation of regulations and actions that may concern this activity so as to ensure its welfare and the free movement of microlights. In order to achieve this aim, as an independent, self-governing and non-profitmaking umbrella association for national microlight associations in Europe, the EMF would seek, in particular, to consult with all relevant regulatory authorities in Europe for the benefit of microlighting. It would endeavour to cooperate with FAI, with Europe Air Sports (EAS) and the European National Aero Clubs (NACs) or the national body representing microlighting when this is not the National Aero Club.

Mr. Gunnarson noted that the non-profit Light Aircraft Manufacturers Association in the US (www.lama.bz) was significantly increasing its role in microlight regulatory affairs in the States.

ITEM 15. CIMA BUDGET
Mr. Gunnarson reported that the commission's account held 21,441.42 Swiss Francs (CHF) as of the date of the meeting, an increase of 296.40 CHF from the previous year. The past year's income included 7,754.00 CHF in sanction fees from the World Microlight Championships in Long Marston, UK. Expenses included 2,125.00 CHF for 2003 World Championships medals and 5,332.60 CHF for the CIMA President's FAI-related travel and accommodations.

ITEM 16. ANY OTHER BUSINESS
   A. Mr. Trigo suggested that CIMA appoint a "liaison officer" to the commemorations of FAI's 100th anniversary.
PROPOSAL: Mr. Veiby proposed Mr. Trigo be appointed "liaison officer" to the commemorations of FAI's 100th anniversary.
ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously.

B. Mr. Trigo suggested that CIMA nominate an expert to go to China to help on the organization of an Asian Microlight Championships. Mr. Matsuo stated at this time the organizers would likely only have paraglider classes.

PROPOSAL: Mr. Trigo proposed Mr. Meredith-Hardy be appointed CIMA expert to assist with developing an Asian championships.
ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously.

C. Mr. Trigo suggested CIMA nominate or contract with a Webmaster for the CIMA pages on the FAI website.

PROPOSAL: Mr. Veiby proposed the bureau be given authority to appoint or hire a CIMA Webmaster.
ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously.

D. Mr. Trigo suggested CIMA create a CIMA award in memory of Ann Welch.

PROPOSAL: Mr. Veiby proposed that CIMA accept the award in principle and form a working group to propose requirements and process for a CIMA award in memory of Ann Welch.
ACTION: APPROVED Unanimously. Working group members are Mr. Trigo, Mr. Negal, Mr. Veiby and Mr. Gunnarson.

E. Mr. van Eeden offered remarks on his perspective on the work of CIMA and how it may wish to increase its visibility through greater promotion and advertising.

ITEM 17. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

FAI Microlight Commission Bureau
The following officers were elected for 2004:

President Mr. Tormod Veiby (NOR)
1st Vice-President Mr. Carlos Trigo (POR)
2nd Vice-President Mr. Tomas Backman (SWE)
Secretary Mr. Thomas Gunnarson (USA)

FAI Technical Commissions
CIMA representatives were appointed as follows:

Aeromedical Mr. Joel Amiable (FRA)
Amateur-Built Aircraft Mr. Tom Gunnarson (USA), Mr. Carlos Trigo (POR)
Education Vacant
Environmental Mr. Marton Ordody (HUN)

Other Commission Positions
The following were established or re-affirmed:

Section 10 Working Group Mr. Backman (Editor), Mr. Meredith-Hardy, Mr. Trigo, Mr. Esteban
Flight Recorder Working Group Mr. Meredith-Hardy (Chair), Mr. Esteban, Mr. Amiable, Mr. Hempy
World Ranking Committee Mr. Gunnarson (Chair), Mr. Meredith-Hardy, Mr. Esteban, Mr. Montigneaux (Advisor)
Media Officer Mr. Gunnarson
2005 World Air Games Contact Mr. Meredith-Hardy
2005 FAI Centenary liaison officer Mr. Trigo
Colibri Badge Working Group Mr. Gunnarson (Chair), Mr. Meredith-Hardy, Mr. van Eeden, Mr. Matsuo and Mr. Meredith-Hardy.
ITEM 18. DATE AND VENUE FOR NEXT MEETING

The next CIMA plenary meeting will take place at FAI headquarters in Lausanne, Switzerland on Friday 12 November and Saturday 13 November 2004 starting at 09h00.

Deadline for proposals for revising Section 10 is 1 September 2004 and 15 September 2004 for any other proposals to be included on the 2004 agenda.

Respectfully submitted
9 January 2004

Signed as a true record
by the President of CIMA

Thomas GUNNARSON
Secretary

Tormod VEIBY
President

Attachments
- Meeting attendee address list
- Delegate's reports
- President's report
- Flight Recorder presentation
- World ranking presentation
- Jury President's report