Subject:	ISC Dynamic Committee Proposals for Rule Changes for Judges	Annex No.:	14.1
Author:	Mr. Kristian Moxnes, Dynamic Committee Chair		
Date:	28 October 2020	Page:	1 (3)

PROPOSAL FOR CHANGES TO SECTION 5 AND DYNAMIC COMPETITION RULES

6.2.1 – Initial Qualification (Section 5) describes requirements for a candidate who wants to become a FAI judge. The candidate needs a valid National Judge rating and the approval of their NAC. Many countries do not have a National Judge rating in IAE/Dynamic due to lack of teams/athletes.

Because we cannot rely on the NAC's to provide us with qualified candidates, we suggest instead to specify in the CR the amount of experience needed to be allowed to take the ISC judges course. The NAC's job is only to approve that the candidate is allowed to represent their country as a judge, not to assess the candidate's competency at judging.

We therefore propose:

1. Any judge with the relevant experience can attend an ISC judges training course. Relevant experience is judging competitions using ISC rules, and the amount of experience necessary must be specified in the relevant Competition Rules.

2. If a candidate passes the course, a recommendation is sent from the CJT to the candidate's NAC.

CHANGES TO SECTION 5

ARTICLE 6.2.1

6.2.1 Initial Qualification

In order to participate in an ISC Judge Training Course; a candidate must :

(1) In AL, ST, FS, CF, AE, CP, SP and WS: Have a valid National Judge rating in the relevant discipline, as listed in 6.1, and have the approval of their NAC, the NAC's nominated authority or the NAC Equivalent (as per 6.1.3) to attend the course,

In IAE:

a. Either, have a valid National Judge rating in the relevant discipline, as listed in 6.1, and have the approval of their NAC, the NAC's nominated authority or the NAC Equivalent (as per 6.1.3) to attend the course,

b. Or, have the required experience, as specified in the CR, in the relevant discipline, as listed in 6.1 and as shown in the judging logbook. In such case, at least two qualified FAI judges shall confirm the candidate's abilities to judge and must be approved at the discretion of CJT, and

(2) satisfy the language requirements outlined in 6.1.1(2), and

3) present to the CJT, when requested, his/her judging logbook, which must meet the requirements of 6.1.1(3) for each entry recording judging activity and for the required personal data. If in the opinion of the CJT the judging logbook does not meet these requirements, the candidate will not be allowed to take thecourse. The CJT may request the candidate to provide his logbook for examination at any time before the start of the Training Course.

When a candidate passes the ISC Judge Training Course, both the candidate and their NAC will receive a confirmation thereof in writing. A NAC is encouraged to may provide the name of any newly qualified FAI Parachuting Judge, immediately after satisfactory completion of an ISC Judge Training Course, directly to the Chair of the ISC Judges Committee, who will then add them to the current ISC list of FAI Parachuting Judges.

Subject:	ISC Dynamic Committee Proposals for Rule Changes for Judges	Annex No.:	14.1
Author:	Mr. Kristian Moxnes, Dynamic Committee Chair		
Date:	28 October 2020	Page:	2 (3)

CHANGES TO COMPETITION RULES

ARTICLE 5.4

Given the changes made to the Tournament Bracket, it seemed easier to refer to the Tournament Bracket, rather than to describe the order of the routines.

Hence:

5.4 Order of the Routines: (F = Free Routine, S = Speed Routine)

5.5.1 During Qualification Rounds, the Order of the Routines shall be :

- Round 1: Speed Routine
- Round 2: Speed Routine
- Round 3: Free Routine

5.5.2 During the Tournament, the Order of Routines shall be determined in accordance with the indications given in the Tournament Bracket (see para. 5.7.1 for the link). Whatever the number of teams and in all cases, Tie-breaks will only be speed routines.

New ARTICLE 6.6

As stated in S5 Article "6.2.1 Initial Qualification", any judge with the relevant experience can attend a ISC judges training course. Relevant experience in judging competitions using ISC rules, and the amount of experience necessary must be specified in the relevant Competition Rules.

Hence the expected relevant experience must be included within the CR rules.

It is therefore proposed to adopt the following article:

6.6 Judges Experience

6.6.1 Judges-in-training (see Article 6.7.6 of Section 5) may be used in addition to the panel of judges provided they are under the direct supervision of the Chief Judge Training (CJT) or the Event Judge having received a delegation from the CJT.

6.6.2 In accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of Section 5, and more specifically those of Article 6.2.1 of the same Section, and in order to demonstrate the "required experience", as stated in Article 6.2.1 (1) b., a candidate must :

 Have judged or have been used as Judge-in-training in a minimum of competitions in Dynamic 2-Way or Dynamic 4-Way within the last (three) 3 years, as may be shown in the judging logbook or may be confirmed by the relevant Organizers or FAI Judges,

And,

(ii) Have participated as a Performer in at least two (2) competitions in Dynamic 2-Way or Dynamic 4-Way conducted under the authority granted by the FAI (as stated in para 1.) within the last (four) 4 years.

Or,

(iii) Have participated as a Performer in at least three (3) national competitions in Dynamic 2-Way or Dynamic 4-Way where the present competition rules have been strictly applied within the last (four) 4 years.

For the purposes of this Article,

- The term "national" in paragraph (6.6.2 (iii)) may refer to any country.
- The terms "minimum of competitions" in paragraph (6.6.2 (i)) shall be determined according to the best ranking of the Performer:
 - In the case referred to in paragraph (6.6.2 (ii)), the minimum shall be of two (2) competitions for the performers who will have placed in the top 10. The minimum shall be of three (3) otherwise.

Subject:	ISC Dynamic Committee Proposals for Rule Changes for Judges	Annex No.:	14.1
Author:	Mr. Kristian Moxnes, Dynamic Committee Chair		
Date:	28 October 2020	Page:	3 (3)

- In the case referred to in paragraph (6.6.2 (iii)), the minimum shall be of four (4) competitions for the performers who will have placed in the top 10. The minimum shall be of five (5) otherwise.
- 0

The burden of proof rests on the person alleging compliance with one of the conditions listed above.

On behalf of the Dynamic Committee,

Kristian Moxnes

Dynamic Committee Chair