ANNEX 3

IMPORTANT NOTE: This Report, together with details of all protests, has to be sent by the Jury President to the FAI Secretary General and to the ISC President within 8 days of the conclusion of the event.

FEDERATION AERONAUTIQUE INTERNATIONALE
Secretary General
Maison du Sport Internationale
Avenue de Rhodanie 54
CH – 1007 Lausanne
Switzerland

PROSTEJOV, 25 Aug 2023_____ (place and date)

REPORT OF THE JURY PRESIDENT TO THE FAI

EVENT DETAILS

| TITLE/NAME | 2nd FAI European Wingsuit Flying Championships |
|           | 4th FAI World Cup of Wingsuit Flying |
|           | 4th FAI European Speed Skydiving Championships |
|           | 5th FAI World Cup of Speed Skydiving |

DATE: 19-26 Aug 2023
LOCATION: Prostejov, Czechia
ORGANISING NAC: Czech Aeroclub
NUMBER OF JUMPS/PERFORMANCES: 820
NUMBER OF EVENTS: 2 (WS, SP)
NUMBER OF COMPETITORS:

| No of competitors |
| SP open | SP female | SP junior | SP Total |
| 19      | 9         | 3         | 31       |

| No of events |
| WS Performance | WS Acro | WS Total | Total All Events |
| 47 | 21 | 68 | 99 |

7 Teams

DISQUALIFICATIONS: YES/NO: NO

EVENT PERSONNEL

MEET DIRECTOR: Martin Dlohý
DEPUTY MEET DIRECTOR: Tereza Partsch
CHIEF JUDGE: WS: Mike Pennock; SP: Bert Ham
SAFETY OFFICER: (Jiri Blaska) no ISC function, local DZ procedure
FAI CONTROLLER: Arnold Hohenegger (ISC safety)

ISC JURY

PRESIDENT: Gernot Rittenschober
MEMBER 1: Alberto Martin Paracuellos
MEMBER 2: Rainer Hoenle (remote)
REPORT OF THE JURY PRESIDENT TO THE FAI (continued)

COMPLAINTS AND PROTESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROTESTS ADMITTED</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF PROTESTS WITHDRAWN</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF PROTESTS UPHELD</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF PROTESTS FAILED</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMOUNT OF PROTEST FEES RETAINED AND INCLUDED</td>
<td>150CHF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also included you will find the Jury decisions (reports as transmitted to the Meet Director) pertaining to the above protests, and all other documents regarding above protests. I understand that the complete Jury Report file will be sent by me to both the FAI and to the ISC President.

DATE & PLACE                                      | PROSTEJOV, 25 Aug 2023 |
JURY PRESIDENT SIGNATURE                          |                      |

Comments:
1) The championships were well organized with an experienced dropzone organizer and also key personnel like FAI Controller and Chief Judges working smoothly together.
2) All FAI regulations were applied correctly.
3) There are some FAI documents that are not available at fai.org although checklists and guidelines refer to these.
   - FAI Accident Guidelines (in local archive we found “one page- FAI After Accident Check List (jk 022016)
   - Protocol Guidelines for FAI Championships Policy for Competition Organizers Ver. 1.0 / September 2015
   And other available documents are quite old while still being the only available e.g. “Policy for Competition Organisers Version 2.0 / 8 October 2009” (uploaded 21 Sep 2017)
4) About the one protest (attached):
   To use the complaint procedure before a protest arises worked well. Anyhow there was one protest that was not demonstrating fair sportsmanship in the opinion of the jury. The protest included accusations to one competitor signed by 7 different NACs (HoDs) with the suspicion of using illegal devices. But even after the complaint and clear answer by the CJ that all was within current rules, the protesters went on with accusing one athlete for cheating and using illegal device (see ). They could not provide any evidence or proof for a rule violation. The protest was rejected and fee retained, but the question arises to which extent false accusations should be allowed to use the complaint and protest platform without any evidence and what actions the rules allow the jury to take if that is done.
5) The current procedure and regime for G-1 and G-2 record claim and payment creates a lot of work, especially in young disciplines with many records not yet existing. Simplification of the procedure, clear dossier templates and extending the 7day time pressure if the performances are well documented at FAI FCEs is recommended.